Absolute normalization of the differential cross section of the pd elastic scattering at 250 MeV

Y. Shimizu, K. Hatanaka, Y. Sakemi, T. Wakasa, H.P. Yoshida, J. Kamiya, K. Fujita, N. Sakamoto, H. Sakai^a, A. Tamii^a, K. Sekiguchi^a, K. Yako^a, Y. Maeda^a, T. Noro^b, and K. Sagara^b

Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan ^aDepartment of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ^bDepartment of Physics, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

Recently, large progress has been made in the study of the three-nucleon (3N) system both experimentally and theoretically. The set of data is being significantly enriched for cross section and spin observables in the elastic nucleon-deuteron scattering and the breakup process at proton energies lower than 200 MeV [1, 2, 3, 4]. Theoretical formulation of 3N scattering based on modern nucleon-nucleon (NN) forces has matured in recent years, and computationally accurate solutions of the 3N Faddeev equation can be achieved [5].

At RCNP, we measured angular distributions of the differential cross section of the pdelastic scattering at 250 MeV [6]. We used deuterated polyethylene (CD_2) foils with a thickness of 24.3 and 51.7 mg/cm^2 as deuteron targets. Target thickness has an uncertainty of about 10 %. It is essential to get precise absolute cross sections for comparison with Faddeev calculations. Then we performed measurements by using a gaseous target system (see Fig. 1) in order to normalize cross sections taken with the solid CD_2 target. The gaseous target consisted of a cylinder of 40 mm in diameter made of 200 μ m thick aluminum. The absolute gas pressure was continuously monitored by a barometer during measurements. The target cell was kept at room temperature and the temperature of the cell wall was measured during the experiment. The target cell was mounted on a target ladder, enabling quick change between either a solid target or a gaseous target. Spectra with filled and empty cells were measured to determine background contributions from the aluminum wall. A double slit system was used to define the target volume and the solid angle of the Grand Raiden spectrometer. The opening of the slit was 8 mrad and 10 mrad in the horizontal and vertical plane, respectively. The effective target thickness and the solid angle were estimated by Monte Carlo simulations. In addition, a measurement was performed with hydrogen gas to check the system.



Figure 1: Gaseous target system mouted on the target ladder.

Figure 2 shows the measured cross section at 25.5° of the *pp* elastic scattering by open circle. The experimental datum is consistent within 3% with the value calulated by the phase-shift analysis program SAID [7]. Figure 3 shows the cross sections of the *pd* elastic scattering independently measured at c.m. angles of 40° , 60° and 95° . Experimental data are compared with results of Faddeev calculations by H. Kamada [8] using charge dependent Bonn potential with and without Tucson-Melbourne (TM) [9] 3NF. Previous cross sections measured with solid CD₂ targets are normalized by using the present results.





Figure 2: The differential cross section in pp elastic scattering at $E_p = 250$ MeV using H₂ gaseous target (open circle). The solid line shows the calculations with the program code SAID.

Figure 3: The differential cross section in pd elastic scattering at $E_p = 250$ MeV using D₂ gaseous target (open circles). The solid and dashed lines are calcurations with the CD-Bonn + TM 3NF and CD-Bonn only, respectively.

References

- [1] N. Sakamoto *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B367**, 60 (1996).
- [2] H. Rohdjeβ et al., Phys. Rev. C 57, 2111 (1998).
- [3] E.J. Stephenson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 061001 (1999).
- [4] H. Sakai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5288 (2000).
- [5] W. Glöckle *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **274**, 107 (1996).
- [6] D. Hirooka et al., in RCNP Annual Report 2000, p1.
- [7] R.A. Arndt and L.D. Roper, Scattering Analysis Interactive Dial-In Program (SAID), phase-shift solution SP98, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (unpublished); see also Phys. Rev. C 56, 3005 (1997), and references therein.
- [8] H. Kamada, private communication.
- [9] S.A. Coon and W. Glöckle, Phys. Rev. C 23, 1790 (1981).