## Relation between dynamical eikonal approximation (DEA) and continuum-discretized coupled-channels method (CDCC)

Pierre Capel<sup>1</sup>, Tokuro Fukui<sup>2</sup>, and Kazuyuki Ogata<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physique Quantique C.P. 165/82, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), B-1050 Brussels, Belgium <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan

The continuum-discretized coupled-channels method (CDCC) [1] is widely known as one of the most efficient and accurate reaction models that treats breakup of loosely-bound projectiles non-perturbatively. CDCC has been highly successful in describing various breakup processes. At intermediate energies, some simplification can be made in the description of scattering wave functions. In Ref. [2], CDCC with eikonal approximation, *eikonal CDCC* (E-CDCC), was proposed. E-CDCC works well even at 30 MeV/nucleon for the breakup process of <sup>8</sup>B. Furthermore, a possible difference of about 10% between the results of E-CDCC and CDCC can be removed if one makes a quantum-mechanical (QM) correction to the amplitudes for lower partial waves.

The dynamical eikonal approximation (DEA) [3] is another successful reaction model for breakup processes at intermediate energies. DEA adopts the eikonal approximation for the projectile-target relative wave function but treats quantum mechanically the projectile wave functions during the breakup process, as E-CDCC. It will be important to clarify the relation between DEA and E-CDCC, which is the purpose of this study.

We have confirmed that, when all Coulomb interactions are switched off, DEA and E-CDCC solve exactly the same equation. Difference exists only in how to solve it: E-CDCC is based on the partial-wave expansion of the projectile wave function, whereas DEA obtains it in a three-dimensional mesh, i.e., with no partial-wave expansion. The two should give the same result, but it is not trivial because of limit of numerical calculation. Figure 1 shows the result of comparison between DEA (solid line) and E-CDCC (dashed line); the angular



Figure 1: Comparison between DEA and E-CDCC. See the text for detail.

distribution (left panel) and energy spectrum (right panel) for the breakup cross section of  ${}^{15}C$  (to  ${}^{14}C+n$ ) on  ${}^{208}Pb$  at 20 MeV/nucleon are shown. We switch off all Coulomb interactions, hence this comparison is purely theoretical. It can be seen that the two agree very well with each other, within 1.5% difference. This remarkable agreement is obtained only when a very large model space is taken in the calculation of each model. Typically, the maximum angular momentum between  ${}^{14}C$  and n in E-CDCC is 10 and the number of channels is 4,236. Thus, DEA and E-CDCC are shown to be equivalent when we have no Coulomb interactions. Investigation on effects of Coulomb distortion and Coulomb breakup is ongoing.

This work was supported in part by the Fonds de la Recherche Fondamentale Collective, grant number 2.4604.07F, and RCNP Young Foreign Scientist Promotion Program.

## References

- As a recent review, M. Yahiro, K. Ogata, T. Matsumoto, and K. Minomo, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012, 01A206 (2012).
- [2] K. Ogata, M. Yahiro, Y. Iseri, T. Matsumoto, and M. Kamimura, Phys. Rev. C 68, 064609 (2003).
- [3] D. Baye, P. Capel, and G. Goldstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 082502 (2005); G. Goldstein, D. Baye, and P. Capel, Phys. Rev. C 73, 024602 (2006).