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One of the important issue in nuclear physics is understanding the effects of three-nucleon force (3NF) on nuclear reactions, finite nuclei, and infinite matter. Recently, chiral effective field theory (Ch-EFT) has made a theoretical breakthrough in this issue [1, 2]. Ch-EFT allows us to define two-, three-, and many-nucleon forces systematically. Another important issue is microscopic understanding of nucleon- and nucleus-nucleus optical potentials. The $g$-matrix folding model is a standard approach to obtaining the optical potential microscopically. In this work, new $g$ matrix from chiral two-nucleon force (2NF) and 3NF is constructed by Brueckner-HartreeFock (BHF) method and we apply it to proton- and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering [3].

In the BHF method, the $g$ matrix is obtained by solving Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone equation. Since the direct treatment of the 3 NF is so difficult even in infinite matter, we introduce an effective 2 NF derived from 3NF with the mean-field approximation. For more convenience we derive the local $g$ matrix with Gaussian form by keeping the on-shell and near-on-shell components consistent with the original ones. Applying the local $g$ matrix to the folding model, we obtain the optical potentials for proton- and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ elastic scattering.

Figure 1 shows the differential cross sections $d \sigma / d \Omega$ and the vector analyzing power $A_{y}$ for proton scattering on ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ca},{ }^{58} \mathrm{Ni}$, and ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ targets at $E_{\text {in }}=65 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $d \sigma / d \Omega$ for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ scattering on ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Ni}$ and ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ targets at $E_{\text {in }} / A_{\mathrm{P}}=72 \mathrm{MeV}$. The results of chiral $g$ matrix with 3 NF effects (solid lines) reproduce the measured $d \sigma / d \Omega$ and $A_{y}$ without any adjustable parameters for each system. The effects of 3 NF for proton scattering are small at forward and middle angles where the experimental data are available. On the other hand, the effects for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ scattering are clearly seen at middle angles, because the near-side and far-side of scattering amplitude [4] are decomposed well. We found that chiral 3NF makes the optical potentials less attractive and more absorptive, and these effects mainly originated in the $2 \pi$-exchange diagram in chiral 3NF.


Figure 1: Angular distribution of (a) differential cross sections and (b) vector analyzing powers for proton elastic scattering at 65 MeV , and (c) differential cross sections for ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ scattering at 72 MeV . The solid (dashed) lines represent the results of the chiral $g$ matrix with (without) 3NF effects. Each cross section (vector analyzing power) is multiplied (shifted up) by the number in the figure. Experimental data are from Refs. [5, 6]
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