# Hadron interaction from phase shift analysis in lattice QCD

NSTAR2015, 2015/05/27

Naruhito Ishizuka University of Tsukuba

1. Calculation method of the scattering phase shift by Lattice QCD.

2. Some applications to resonance and bound state systems.

## 1. Introduction

Recent progress of simulation algorithm,

supported by the development of computer power,

makes it possible to calculate the hadron masses at physical quark mass by lattice QCD.

But, it is only for stable particles .....





for unstable particle ( $\rho$ ,  $K^*$ ,  $\Delta$ ) *energies of ground states* on finite volume are plotted. *(: not resonance masses )* 

Calculations of resonance mass and decay width of unstable particles at physical quark mass still remain.

These can be carried out by analysis of the scattering phase shift.

### Scattering phase shift

=> Information of Hadron interaction

: important for understanding properties of hadrons



Decay width can not be directly obtained from correlation function on the lattice.

## Calculation of scattering phase shift [Finite size method]

M. Lüscher, CMP105(86)153, NPB354(91)531.

Ex) for m S-wave (extension to other system is straight forward)

In  $L \times L \times L$  periodic box ( : lattice )

Energy of  $\pi$ :

$$E = \sqrt{m_{\pi}^2 + p^2}$$
  $p^2 = (2\pi/L)^2 \cdot n , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

Energy of *ππ*:

$$E = 2\sqrt{m_{\pi}^2 + p^2}$$
  $p^2 = (2\pi/L)^2 \cdot n , n \notin \mathbb{Z}$  (: discrete)

$$p \cdot \cot \delta(p) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}L} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{1}{n^2 - q^2} \qquad (q = 2\pi/L \cdot p)$$
$$\delta(p) : \text{ SC. phase shift in infinite volume}$$
$$: \text{ Lüscher's finite size formula}$$



## Other methods

## 1. From time correlation function

Extraction the resonance parameter by fitting the time correlation function on the lattice to effective theories.

: strongly relies on effective theory.

recently : model independent method at L = huge

U.-G. Meissner et al., NPB846(2011)1.

: very difficult in QCD !!

### 2. From spectrum density

V. Bernard et al., JHEP 08(2008) 024.

Calculations on many lattice volumes. Extracting energies of very higher states. : very difficult in QCD !!

3. From "potential" extracted from BS-function

HAL coll., PRL99(2007)02201, PTP123(2010)89.

Wave function calculated on the lattice.

- => extracting "potential"
- => solving Schrödinger eq. => SC. phase shift.

## Contents

1. Introduction

## 2. Finite size method

Derivation of Lüscher's finite size formula

### 3. Recent progress

- (1) Scattering length of I=2 S-wave  $\pi\pi$  system
- (2)  $\rho$  meson decay (*I=1* P-wave  $\pi\pi$ )
- (3)  $D_0^* (2400)$  ( $D + \pi$ , S-wave, resonace)  $D_1 (2420, 2430)$  ( $D^* + \pi$ , S-wave, resonace)
- (4)  $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$  (D+K, S-wave, bound state)
- (5) NN scattering by two methods[finite size method and HAL potential method ]

## 4. Summary



at fixed volume (L/a = 24)





in case of strong attractive int.

Energy of ground state :

 $E_{-1} = E_B + \mathrm{e}^{-L}$ 

## Extension of finite size formula

• elastic SC.

$$A(\mathbf{p}_A) + B(\mathbf{p}_b)$$
,  $m_A \neq m_B$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_A + \mathbf{p}_b \neq 0$ 

A, B: hadron with spin

K. Rummukainen and S.A.Gottlieb, NPB450(1995)397.
X. Feng, K. Jansen, D. Renner, PoS(Lat2010)104.
J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edward, C.E. Thomas, RD86(2012)034031.
Z.Fu, PRD85(2012)014506.

• multi SC. state (ex  $\pi\pi + KK$  for I=0)

C. Liu, X. Feng, S. He, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A21(2006)847.
M. Lang. et.al., PLB681(09)439.
HSC coll., PRL113(2014)182001; PRD91(2015)054008.

• three particles ( spin less )

M. Hansen and S.R. Sharpe, PRD90(2014)116003.

L.Leskovec and S. Prelovsek, PRD85(2012)114507. M.Göckeler et al, PRD86(2012)094513. M.Döring et al, EPJA48(2012)114. N.Li and C.Liu, PRD87(2013)114507.

## Extraction of energy of excited state

 $\mathcal{O}(t)\;$  : op. with some quantum number

$$G(t) = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(t) \mathcal{O}(t_0) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{n} A_n \cdot e^{-E_n \cdot (t - t_0)} \quad \text{for } t >> t_0$$

In principle,  $E_n$  of excited stats can be extracted by multi exponential fitting. But it is practically very difficult.

 $\mathcal{O}_i \ (i=1,2,\cdots N)$  : ops. with some quantum number assuming the lowest *N* states dominate correlation function.

$$G_{ij}(t) = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_i^{\dagger}(t) \mathcal{O}_j(t_0) | 0 \rangle$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} V_{in}^{\dagger} \cdot \lambda_n(t-t_0) \cdot V_{nj} = \left[ V^{\dagger} \cdot \lambda(t-t_0) \cdot V \right]_{ij}$  for  $t >> t_0$   
 $V_{nj} = \langle n | \mathcal{O}_j | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\lambda_n(t) = \exp(-E_n t)$ 

SO,

$$\left[G(t_R)^{-1}G(t)\right]_{n-\text{th eigenvalue}} = \lambda_n(t-t_R)$$

We can extract  $E_n$  by single exponential fitting.

: Variational method M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, NPB339(1990)222.

**ex)**  $\rho$  meson ( $I = 1, J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ )

• 
$$\mathcal{O}^{\pi\pi}(\mathbf{p}) = \pi^+(\mathbf{p})\pi^-(-\mathbf{p}) - \pi^+(-\mathbf{p})\pi^-(\mathbf{p})$$

• 
$$\rho_j = \bar{u}\gamma_j u - \bar{d}\gamma_j d$$

**ex)** 
$$D_{s0}^* (2317) \ (I = 0, J^P = 0^+)$$
 (bound state)

• 
$$\mathcal{O}^{DK}(\mathbf{p}) = D^+(\mathbf{p})K^-(-\mathbf{p}) + D^-(\mathbf{p})K^+(-\mathbf{p})$$

• 
$$M = \overline{s}c$$

# 3. Recent progress (1) Scattering length of *I=2* S-wave ππ



 $a_0 \sim \tan \delta(p)/p$ 

all results are on LO CHPT with  $f_{\pi}(m_{\pi})$ and consistent with expt.

## (2) $\rho$ meson decay (*I=1* P-wave $\pi\pi$ )



Talk by Yamazaki at Lat.2015 (PoS(Lattice2015)009)

<u>13</u>

(3)  $D_0^*(2400)$  and  $D_1(2420, 2430)$  ( $(D, D^*) + \pi$ , S-wave)

neutral D meson :  $D = \overline{c} \Gamma u$ 

	$J^P$	Г	
D(1864)	$0^{-}$	$\gamma_5$	stable
$D^{*}(2010)$	$1^{-}$	$\gamma_j$	$D\pi$ , $\Gamma = 96 \mathrm{KeV}$
$D_0^*(2400)$	$0^+$	Ι	$D\pi$ , $\Gamma = 267 \mathrm{MeV}$
$D_1(2420)$	$1^{+}$	$\gamma_j\gamma_5$	$D^*\pi$ , $\Gamma = 27 \mathrm{MeV}$
$D_1(2430)$	$1^{+}$	$\gamma_j\gamma_5$	$D^*\pi$ , $\Gamma = 384 \mathrm{MeV}$

total angular mom. :  $J = j_u + s_c$ 

- $j_u$ : total angular mom. of u quark
- $s_c$  : spin of c quark

in heavy charm limit,

 $j_u$  is good quantum number.

expectation form heavy quark sym. :

 $D_0^*(2400)$  and  $D_1(2420, 2430)$  by lattice QCD

D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek, R.M. Woloshyn, PRD87(2013)034501.

$$\frac{N_L^3 \times N_T}{16^3 \times 32} \quad \kappa_l \qquad \beta \qquad a[\text{fm}] \qquad L[\text{fm}] \quad \#\text{configs} \quad m_\pi[\text{MeV}] \\ 1.98 \qquad 280/279 \qquad 266(3)(3)$$

 $D_0^*(2400)$ 



$$p \cdot \cot \delta(p) / \sqrt{s} = (6\pi) / g^2 \cdot (m_R^2 - s)$$

Expt :

$$\begin{split} g &= 2.55(21)(03)\,{\rm GeV} \\ m(D_0^*) - M_{\overline{1S}} &= 350.8(20.2)(3.7)\,{\rm MeV} \\ M_{\overline{1S}} &= (M(D) + 2M(D^*))/4 \end{split}$$

 $\Gamma = 267(40) \,\mathrm{MeV} \rightarrow g \le 1.92(14) \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $m(D_0^*) - M_{\overline{1S}} = 347(2) \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

almost consistent with expt.

 $D_1(2420, 2430)$ SC. phase shift of  $D^* + \pi$ , S-wave 0.2 働  $p \cdot \cot \delta(p) / \sqrt{s}$ 0  $\oplus$ ° -0.2 cot o (\_-0.4 \*d) -0.6 -0.8 Ю -1j 1.2 1.8 2.2 2.4 1.6 2 2.6 1.4

★ : large overlap with  $\bar{c} \gamma_j \gamma_5 u$  op. small overlap with  $D^* + \pi$  (S-wave ) op. maybe  $D_1(2420)(\Gamma = 27 \text{ MeV})$ : very narrow

omitting this state, they analyze as one resonance with

 $p \cdot \cot \delta(p) / \sqrt{s} = (6\pi) / g^2 \cdot (m_R^2 - s)$ 

Expt :

 $g = 2.01(15)(02) \text{ GeV} \qquad \Gamma = 384(107) \text{ MeV} \rightarrow g \le 2.50(40) \text{ GeV}$  $m(D_1(2430)) - M_{\overline{1S}} = 380.7(20.0)(4.0) \text{ MeV} \qquad 456(40) \text{ MeV}$  $m(D_1(2420)) - M_{\overline{1S}} = 448.77(14.1)(4.7) \text{ MeV} \qquad 449.9(0.6) \text{ MeV}$ 

almost consistent with expt.

(4)  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$  (D + K, S-wave, bound state)

	$J^P$		Mass	
K	$0^{-}$	$\bar{s}\gamma_5 u$	498	
D	$0^{-}$	$\bar{u}\gamma_5 c$	1864	
$D_{s0}^*$	$0^+$	$\overline{s}c$	2317	< (K + D = 2362)

This state should be found as bound state of D + K scattering system.

## D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek, R.M. Woloshyn, PRL111(2013)222001, PRD90(2014)034510.

ID	$N_L^3 \times N_T$	$N_{f}$	<i>a</i> [fm]	<i>L</i> [fm]	No. configs	$m_{\pi}$ [MeV]	$m_K$ [MeV]
(1)	$16^{3} \times 32$	2	0.1239(13)	1.98	279	266(3)(3)	552(2)(6)
(2)	$32^3 \times 64$	2+1	0.0907(13)	2.90	196	156(7)(2)	504(1)(7)

They also study  $D_{s1}(2536), D_{s2}^*(2573)$ 

SC. phase shift of D + K, S-wave



black line : finite size formula

red line = -|pa| : corresponding to  $\cot \delta = i$ 

ground state is near red line => bound state  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ 

$m_{\pi}$	$E_0 - M_{\overline{1S}}$
$266{ m MeV}$	$254.4(4.3)(2.3){ m MeV}$
$156{ m MeV}$	$245(15)(4)\mathrm{MeV}$
Expt.	$241.45(60)\mathrm{MeV}$

consistent with expt.

## (5) *NN* scattering by two methods

finite size method vs. HAL potential method

## HAL potential method HAL coll., PRL99(2007)02201, PTP123(2010)89.

(1) Calculation of BS wave function by the lattice QCD.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle 0 | N(\mathbf{x}/2) N(-\mathbf{x}/2) | NN; E_p \rangle \qquad E_p = 2\sqrt{m_N^2 + p^2}$$

(2) Extraction of "potential" *V* from wave function by Schrödinger eq. at energy  $E_{\rho}$ . (  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \to V(\mathbf{x})$  )

$$-\frac{\nabla^2}{m_N}\Phi(\mathbf{x}) + V(\mathbf{x})\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = E_p\Phi(\mathbf{x})$$

[More sophisticated method is recently used.]

(3) Solving Schrödinger eq. with the potential *V* at general energy  $E_k$ . ( $V(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathbf{x}; k)$ )

$$-\frac{\nabla^2}{m_N}\Psi(\mathbf{x};k) + V(\mathbf{x})\Psi(\mathbf{x};k) = E_k\Psi(\mathbf{x};k) \qquad E_k = 2\sqrt{m_N^2 + k^2}$$

 $\Psi(\mathbf{x};k) \implies$  SC. phase shift at general energy  $E_k$ 

## Works by HAL coll.

NN potential

N. Ishii, S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda, PRL99(2007)02201; HAL coll., PoS CD12(2013)025.

- Energy dependence of NN potential K. Murano et al, PTP125(2011)1225.
- LS force in odd parity sectors HAL coll., PLB735(2014)19.
- Hyperon potential HAL coll., Int.J.Mod.Phys.E19(2010)2442.
- $\Omega\Omega$  potential HAL coll., PoS(Lattice 2014)232; arXiv:1503.03189.
- N $\Omega$  potential HAL coll., NPA928(2014)89.
- NNN potential HAL coll., PTP127(2012)723.
- H dibaryon HAL coll., PRL106(2011)162002; NPA88(2012)28.
- Charmed meson system HAL coll., PLB729(2014)85.
- Couple channel see Parallel-B 27-1 by Sasaki.
- Z<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> see Parallel-B 27-2 by Ikeda.



U.15/UU, U.15/2/, U.15/54 and  $K_s = U.1504U$ , which leads to the fattice spacing  $a \simeq$ inger equation for some converses the extension  $2^{\text{m}} = 32a \simeq 2.90 \text{ fm}$ , the pion mass  $m_{\pi} \simeq 70^{\text{m}}$ Fig. 4(right), We see that the behaviors are qualitatively 1215 MeV, respectively 1) We want the behaviors are qualitatively 1215 MeV, respectively  $\mathcal{D}_1$ ). er than the experimental one. In addition, they do not is quark mass region Possible reason would be that in enhanced faster than the attractive pocket grows which CE calculation at matter quark mass region. Note that ateractive at low energy have is not strong chough  $300^{\circ}$  strong chough  $300^{\circ}$  strong  $0.5^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $0.5^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $100^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $100^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $100^{\circ}$   $1000^{\circ}$   $100^{\circ}$   $100^{\circ}$  100m<sub>π</sub>=411 MeV m<sub>π</sub>=570 MeV -80 m\_=700 MeV 0.5 0.5 1.5 500 m<sub>π</sub>=411 MeV m<sub>π</sub>=570 MeV m<sub>π</sub>=700 MeV 0.[am] 1 0.5 2 0 1.5 1.5 r [fm] -120 -500 -140 m<sub>pton</sub>=700 MeV<sup>0</sup> m<sub>pion</sub>=570 MeV 15 0 0.5 1.5 0.5 1.5 1.5  ${}^{1}S_{0}$ phase shift m<sub>pion</sub>=411 MeV r [fm] r [fm] Figure 3 2 1 flavor QCD result of the pin-singlet central potential, spin-triplet central poten tens protential for the even parity sector for  $m_{\pi} \simeq 411,570,701$  MeV. much smaller than expt. Fig S shows the 2+1 Havor QCD results of central and tensor potentials for even pa These potentials show the phenomenologically expected properties, i.e., the central pote repulsive cores at short solistance surrounded by attractive pockets in the medium dis the decreasing quark mass, the reputsive core grows, the attractive pockets are enhance vistringethe private providential is engance (tright) The <sup>70,701</sup> MeV by using the resultant potentials. We parametrize these potentials by using a functional form of AV18[1]. We perfor taneous fit of two  $V_{\rm C}(r)$  and one  $V_{\rm T}(r)$  by



#### A. Ukawa,

## 4. Summary

Analysis of scattering phase shift give us a lot of information of hadron interactions.

In recent the lattice calculation, a lot of studies of resonance and bound state form the phase shift are carried out.

Next step of the lattice calculations :

• Solving the problem in *NN* scattering system.

[Finite size method vs. HAL potential method]

- Calculations at physical quark mass.
- Application to other systems (including the baryon).

## Thanks for your attention.

# Back up

 $K^*(892)$  (  $K + \pi$ , I=1/2, P-wave )

(1) S. Prelovsek, L. Leskove, C.B. Lang, D. Mohler, PRD88(2013)054508.

 $m_{\pi} = 266 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 



g = 5.7(1.6) 5.72(6)

consistent with expt.

 $K^*(892)$  (  $K + \pi$ , I=1/2, P-wave )

(2) HSC coll., PRL113(2014)182001; PRD91(2015)054008.

 $m_{\pi} = 391 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 



$$\frac{p^3}{\tan\delta(p)} = \frac{6\pi}{g^2} \cdot \sqrt{s}(m^2 - s)$$

$$m_{K^*} < m_K + m_\pi$$
  
: bound state  
un-physical kinematics.  
quark mass is too large.

## $K + \pi$ , I = 1/2, S,D-wave

#### HSC coll., PRL113(2014)182001; PRD91(2015)054008.

 $m_{\pi} = 391 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 



quark mass is too large.



S. prelovsek, C.B. Lang, L. Leskovec, D. Mohler, PRD91(2015)014504.

$$\bar{c}c\bar{d}u$$
,  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{+-})$ 

 $Z_{c}^{+}(3900)$ 



$$m_{\pi} = 266 \,\mathrm{MeV}$$

#### ops:

 $J/\psi(0)\pi(0), \ \eta_c(0)\rho(0), \ J/\psi(1)\pi(-1), \ D(0)\bar{D}^*(0),$  $\psi_{2S}(0)\pi(0), \ D^*(0)\bar{D}^*(0), \psi_{1D}(0)\pi(0), \ \eta_c(1)\rho(-1),$  $D(1)\bar{D}^*(-1), \ \psi_3(0)\pi(0), \ J/\psi(2)\pi(-2), \ D^*(1)\bar{D}^*(-1)$  $D(2)\bar{D}^*(-2)$ (2)

> No additional state is found for m < 4200MeV

## Comments for multi SC. system

ex) *I=0 п*п at allowed Einfinite volume  $\langle 0|\pi\pi(\mathbf{x})|\pi\pi;\mathbf{k}\rangle \qquad \langle 0|KK(\mathbf{x})|\pi\pi;\mathbf{k}\rangle$  $\langle 0|\pi\pi(\mathbf{x})|K\bar{K};\mathbf{k}\rangle \quad \langle 0|K\bar{K}(\mathbf{x})|K\bar{K};\mathbf{k}\rangle$ physics :  $\delta_{\pi\pi}(E), \delta_{K\bar{K}}(E), \eta(E)$ finite volume  $\langle 0|\pi\pi(\mathbf{x})|E\rangle$  $= A \cdot \langle 0 | \pi \pi(\mathbf{x}) | \pi \pi \rangle + B \cdot \langle 0 | \pi \pi(\mathbf{x}) | KK \rangle$  $\langle 0|KK(\mathbf{x})|E\rangle$  $= C \cdot \langle 0 | K \bar{K}(\mathbf{x}) | \pi \pi \rangle + D \cdot \langle 0 | K \bar{K}(\mathbf{x}) | K \bar{K} \rangle$ 

Allowed energy :  $f(\delta_{\pi\pi}(E), \delta_{K\bar{K}}(E), \eta(E), E) = 0$ : one relation

We can not get  $\delta_{\pi\pi}(E), \delta_{K\bar{K}}(E), \eta(E)$ 

individually from E

Parameterization of the scattering amplitudes. *E* of a lot of eigenstates.

=> hadron interaction



### 2) SC. state + Bound sate

ex) Two nucleon  ${}^3S_1$  (Deuteron bound state)

