Lifetime measurement of candidate chiral doublet bands in the ^{103,104}Rh isotopes with the RDDS method in inverse kinematics T. Suzuki^{1, 2, 3} G. Rainovski^{4, 5} T. Koike³ T. Ahn⁵ M. P. Carpenter⁶ A. Costin⁵ M. Danchev⁷ A. Dewald⁸ R. V. F. Janssens,⁶ P. Joshi⁹, C. J. Lister⁶, O. Möller⁸, N. Pietralla^{8, 10}, T. Shinozuka², J. Timar¹¹, **R.** Wadsworth,⁹ C. Vaman,¹² and S. Zhu⁶

¹Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Japan ² Cyclotron and Radio-isotope Center, Tohoku University, Japan ³ Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Japan ⁴ St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, Bulgaria ⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, SUNY at Stonybrook, USA [®] Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, USA

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, USA [®] Institute für Kernphysik der Universitat zu Köln, Germany [°] Department of Physics, University of York, UK ¹⁰ Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt, Germany ¹¹ Institute of Nuclear Research (ATOMKI), Hungary ¹² NSCL, Michigan State University, USA



I + 1 or I + 2

in

From S. Frauendorf and J. Meng, Nucl. Phys. A 617, 131 (1997).

For mass 100 region $\pi g_{9/2}^{-1} \otimes
u h_{11/2}$ 1-axis: long axis of the triaxial shape j_n ; proton-hole in a high-j shell 2-axis: short axis of the triaxial shapeL neutron-particle in a high-j shell $j_p;$ 3-axis: intermidiate axis of the triaxial shape R; core rotation



Figure from T. Koike, K. Starosta, and I. Hamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 172502 (2004).

 $H |IR\rangle = \epsilon_R |IR\rangle, \quad H |IL\rangle = \epsilon_L |IL\rangle$ $O|IR\rangle = |IL\rangle, \quad O|IL\rangle = |IR\rangle$ $\epsilon_R = \epsilon_L$ $\int |IM+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|L\rangle + |R\rangle)$ $|IM-\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(|L\rangle - |R\rangle)$ $H \left| IM \pm \right\rangle = \epsilon \left| IM \pm \right\rangle$ $O|IM\pm\rangle = |IM\pm\rangle$

two major experimental criteria

(i) the observation of nearly degenerate $\Delta I = 1$ twin bands built on the same single particle configuration (ii) identical electromagnetic properties --- similar B(E2) and B(M1) values of in-band and

inter-band transitions

I+1 or *I*+2 From C. M. Petrache, G. B. Hagemann, I. Hamamoto, and K. Starosta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 112502 (2006).



D. B. Fossan, T. Koike, D. Sohler, I. Y. Lee, and A. O. Macchiavelli, Phys. Rev.C 73, 011301 (2006).



From C. Vaman, D. B. Fossan, T. Koike, K. Starosta, I. Y. Lee, and A. O. Macchiavelli Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 032501 (2004).

Coincidence Recoil Distance Doppler Shift Method (RDDS) and Differential Decay-Curve Method (DDCM)





ANL GSFMA169 Experiment



Results Summary $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{I-2} & [e^2b^2] \\ \textbf{0.10} & [e^2b^2] \\ \textbf{0.11} & \textbf{0.12} \\ \textbf{0.12} & \textbf{0.13} \\ \textbf{0.14} & \textbf{0.14} \\ \textbf{0.15} & \textbf{0.16} \\ \textbf{0.16} & \textbf{0.16}$ The behavior as well as absolute values, of the B(E2) and B(M1) values between the two nuclei 80.0 ↑ 0.06 0.04 0.02 are similar;

- The lifetimes at the bottom of the three- or two-quasiparticle bands in¹⁰³Rh and ¹⁰⁴Rh have been measured, respectively, via the recoil distance Doppler shift method.

ring for each distances.



- the B(E2) values exhibit weak staggering - the B(M1) values decrease monotonically with increasing spin

Chiral Doublet \rightarrow B(M1) staggering B(E2) staggering \rightarrow What?

Staggering pattern compaired between other chiral candidates

	configuration	$I - I_0$ = even	$I-I_0$ = odd	I_0^{π}	
odd-odd	$\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}{}^{-1}$	large	small	9+	^{124,126,128,130,132} Cs, ¹³⁴ La
odd-odd	$\pi g_{9/2}{}^{-1} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$	large	small	8-	¹⁰⁰ Tc, ^{104,106} Rh
odd-A	$\pi {h_{11/2}}^2 \otimes \nu {h_{11/2}}^{-1}$	small	large	25/2-	¹³⁵ Nd
odd-A	$\pi g_{9/2}{}^{-1} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}{}^2$	small	large	23/2+	^{103,105} Rh

	B(E2)		B(M1)		
$I - I_0$	even	odd	even	odd	
¹²⁸ Cs	small	large	large	small	
¹⁰⁴ Rh	small	large	none	none	
¹³⁵ Nd	none	none	small	large	
¹⁰³ Rh	small	large	none	none	

But, any theoretical calculation for any other nuclei indicates, chiral geometry is expected higher spin states.

J. Timar, P. Joshi, K. Starosta, V. Dimitrov, D. Fossan, J. Molnar, D. Sohler, R. Wadsworth, A. Algora, P. Bednarczyk, et al., Phys. Lett. B 598, 178 (2004), S. Y. Wang, S. Q. Zhang, B. Qi, J. Peng, J. M. Yao, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 77, 034314 (2008), T. Koike, K. Starosta, and I. Hamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 172502 (2004).

(The first measurements in this mass region for a pair of bands considered as chiral doublets.)

- The staggering observed in B(M1)/B(E2) ratios is caused by the B(E2) values. - The behavior of the B(E2) and B(M1) values in both nuclei is similar; the B(E2) values exhibit an odd-even spin dependence and the B(M1) values decrease with increasing spin.

- The staggering in the B(E2) values is not yet understood and demands theoretical interpretation.

- At the same time, it is absolutely necessary to measure the lifetimes of levels at higher spin together with those for the yrare partner band where the energy degeneracy is small.