

# Imaging individual Ba atoms in solid xenon for barium tagging in nEXO

#### **Bill Fairbank**

Chris Chambers, David Fairbank, James Todd, Danielle Harris, Adam Craycraft, Alec Iverson

**nEXO** Collaboration





#### Extending the Sensitivity of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay in the nEXO Detector

$$^{136}Xe \rightarrow ^{136}Ba^{++} + 2e^{-}$$

*Current experiments detect the emitted electrons* 

- Ba Tagging: also detect the daughter <sup>136</sup>Ba ion or atom located at the decay position.
- Potential to eliminate all but 2vββ backgrounds

#### nEXO sensitivity vs. background



J. B. Albert et al., Phys. Rev. C 97, 065503 (2018)

# Barium Tagging in Solid Xenon

- Locate the decay position with the TPC
- Insert a cryogenic probe and trap the Ba daughter in solid Xe
- Extract the probe and cool
- Tag the Ba daughter in the solid Xe via laser induced fluorescence





Probe in observation region - Use single Ba imaging technique we present in this work.

#### Requires counting of *single* Ba in solid Xe

#### Ba<sup>+</sup> Ion Deposition System



### Observation of Ba in Solid Xe



# Imaging and Background Reduction

Observed from above, there are two sources of background: surface, bulk



Cr<sup>3+</sup> reduced with high purity windows



### Energy Levels of Ba in Vacuum



### Spectra of Ba in Solid Xe

#### **Ba Fluorescence Spectra**

#### **Ba Excitation Spectra**



We have identified 4 distinct emission peaks, corresponding to 4 different matrix sites

B. Mong et. al, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 022505 (2015)

DBD18 Workshop October 21, 2018 Hawaii

#### Identification of matrix sites of Ba in solid Xe for two peaks



Davis, Gervais, and McCaffrey, J. Chem. Phys. 148, 124308 (2018)

10

## New: identification of 3<sup>rd</sup> matrix site of Ba in solid Xe: 619 nm peak



Single Vacancy (SV) site simulation shape qualitatively agrees with experiment Cramped configuration is more sensitive to uncertainty in repulsive potential model

### Fixed laser images of Ba in solid Xe



# Imaging single Ba atoms with laser scans

Each camera exposure is for a position in a grid:



### Scanning for Single Ba Atoms: raw CCD images



DBD18 Workshop October 21, 2018 Hawaii

14

# Composite images of individual Ba atoms in solid Xe: counting Ba atoms

Peak from Frame 76 6000 Integrated Counts/mWs  $0_0^{-1}$ x step 12 step 12 0

#### Making a Composite Image

Each frame is a CCD image of the laser at a grid spot

Between frames, the laser is moved to the next spot

Each frame is ntegrated around the laser region

Normalize by the laser exposure in mW\*s

Signals plotted according to grid spot

Comment: count 2 detected Ba atoms in the scan area  $48^{+5}_{-10}$  Ba<sup>+</sup> ions deposited in the scan area.

C. Chambers et al., arXiv 1806.10694, submitted to Nature

## Composite images of individual Ba atoms in solid Xe: counting Ba atoms



### Sitting on one Ba atom



Counts in laser beam region per CCD frame

Then laser moved to near the left peak.

3s images are taken for 150 s.

Atoms emit for ~25s more, then turn off: (>300 s for other atoms) Lots of photons emitted by one Ba atom!  $(700,000 - >10^7)$ 

#### Repeat scan after sitting on one Ba atom

First Scan: 2 Ba

Repeat Scan: 2 Ba

Repeat Scan: after sitting near peak: one Ba is gone



# Comparing scans of deposits with and without Ba pulses



C. Chambers et al., arXiv 1806.10694, submitted to Nature

### Erasing a large Ba deposit



Even after a large deposit (7000 ions) all detectable Ba atoms are removed Thus no "history effect" interfering with subsequent deposits

20

## Quantifying erasure of Ba deposits

#### After-Before SXe only counts/mWs Ba counts/mWs 1500 Difference in background level (counts/mWs) Linear slope = 379 ± 10 counts/mWs 1000 slope = $0.06 \pm 0.41$ counts/mWs Integrated counts/mWs 50 500 Slope = .06 + / .41• -500 -1000 10<sup>3</sup> -1500 10<sup>1</sup> $10^{3}$ 100 200 300 400 10<sup>2</sup> 500 lons Deposited in Laser Region Number of Ba<sup>+</sup> ions in previous deposit

Limit of <0.16% Ba signal after evaporation Thus no "history effect" interfering with subsequent deposits

C. Chambers et al., arXiv 1806.10694, submitted to Nature

DBD18 Workshop October 21, 2018 Hawaii

## Comment on formation of matrix sites of Ba in Solid Xe



Ba atoms are too large to fit in a single vacancy (SV) site, preferring the 4- and 5-vacancy sites.

Ba implanted as an ion has a much tighter bond to Xe, thus preferring the SV site

This has already been demonstrated experimentally for Na<sup>+</sup> ions in SAr: D. C. Silverman and M. E. Fajardo, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 8964 (1997).

## Comment on formation of matrix sites of Ba in Solid Xe



Ba atoms are too large to fit in a single vacancy (SV) site, preferring the 4- and 5-vacancy sites.

Ba implanted as an ion has a much tighter bond to Xe, thus preferring the SV site

Ba<sup>+</sup> then neutralizes later to Ba, but is trapped in the cramped SV site by the Xe matrix.

#### Apparatus to capture Ba in SXe on a cryoprobe



# Working on implantation of Ba<sup>+</sup> ions into SXe on cryoprobe



- Ba<sup>+</sup> ions created by laser ablation in Xe gas
- E-field to drift ions into LXe and to cryoprobe.
- Then spectroscopy of Ba in SXe in observation chamber

#### A better cryoprobe for single Ba imaging



We are working to adapt Peter Fierlinger's helium cooled probe that included capacitive measurement of SXe thickness.

P. Fierlinger et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 79, 045101 (2008).

Similar optics of ion beam setup

#### Summary

First imaging of single atoms in solid rare gas, a major step for Ba tagging in nEXO

Key features:

- Single Ba atoms can be counted with  $S/\sigma \approx 70$ .
- Ba deposit is "erased" by evaporating and re-freezing a new solid Xe coating.
- No sensitivity to any stray Ba atoms on window surface.
- Ba<sup>+</sup> ions preferentially go into single vacancy sites if they neutralize <u>after</u> they form in SV site, they will be in the site for which we have demonstrated single Ba imaging. (This might occur for capture from LXe!)

#### **nEXO** Collaboration



University of Alabama University of Bern, Switzerland Brookhaven National Laboratory University of California, Irvine California Institute of Technology Carleton University, Canada Colorado State University **Drexel University Duke University** Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen, Germany IBS Center for Underground Physics, Daejeon, South Korea IHEP Beijing, People's Republic of China IME Beijing, People's Republic of China **ITEP Moscow**, Russia University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign Indiana University

Laurentian University, Canada Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory University of Massachusetts McGill University, Canada University of North Carolina, Wilmington Oak Ridge National Laboratory Pacific Northwest National Laboratory **Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute** Université de Sherbrooke, Canada SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory University of South Dakota Stanford University Stony Brook University Technical University of Munich, Germany TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada Yale University