## Neutrino / anti-neutrino single π production (Experiments)

Yoshinari Hayato (Kamioka, ICRR, Univ. of Tokyo)

### Introduction

Neutrino and anti-neutrino induced  $\pi$  production

Pre-dominant interaction in a few GeV region

Signal or background of



long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments

Major background in the nucleon decay search



### Introduction



Bubble chamber experiments (1970's ~ 1980's)

Neutrino induced single  $\pi$  production

H<sub>2</sub> or D<sub>2</sub> target ~ Bubble chamber experiments ANL and BNL measured cross-section at rather low energy BEBC and FNAL measured







#### Bubble chamber experiments (1970's ~ 1980's)

Anti-neutrino induced single  $\pi$  production

$H_2 \text{ or } D_2$	
CF₃Br	

BEBC and FNAL GGM and SKAT



## Alternative calculation of $1\pi$ production cross-sections

Model is implemented by M. Kabirnezhad Adapt for Neut by C. Wret

Phys.

Rev.

D

• The new model includes resonant (Rein-Sehgal model) and non-resonant interactions (5 diagrams from Hernandez et.al ) coherently!



- We need to define a common framework to calculate the helicity amplitudes, Isobaric system.
- The main challenge is to calculate helicity amplitudes of the above diagrams in this frame.
- It is suitable for neutrino generators.
- The new model output is  $d \sigma / dW dQ^2 d \Omega_{\pi}$  pion angles are part of cross-section!

 $\hat{k} \qquad \hat{k} = \hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_2$   $\hat{q}_{\pi} \quad k_1 : neutrino \ momentum$   $\theta_{\pi} = \theta \qquad k_2 : lepton \ momentun$   $\hat{\phi}_{\pi} = \hat{\phi} \qquad \hat{k}_2$ 

76

(2007)

033005

The main effects of nonresonant bkg is for pion angles due to the interference terms with resonances!

(Slide by M. Kabirnezhad)

#### Comparisons of $1\pi$ production cross-sections

New  $\pi$  model is implemented by M. Kabirnezhad Adapt for Neut by C. Wret



Figures by M. Kabirnezhad,

ANL & BNL data is reanalyzed by C. Wilkinson et al.



#### Comparisons of $1\pi$ production cross-sections

New  $\pi$  model is implemented by M. Kabirnezhad Adapt for Neut by C. Wret

#### Interaction cross-sections are similar for neutrinos but quite different ( ~ 30% ) for anti-neutrino.



Need more data for the validation.

Neutrino induced single  $\pi$  production Charged current @ MiniBooNE ( CH<sub>2</sub> target ) MiniBooNE detector



800 ton CH<sub>2</sub> detector Signal region 1280 8inch PMTs Veto region 240 8inch PMTs

Use Cherenkov light and scintillation light



#### Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current @ MiniBooNE (CH<sub>2</sub> target) x10<sup>-45</sup> x10-36 Error Bands σ(E<sub>v</sub>) (cm²) iniBooNE Measureme <u>ି ଏ</u> (cm²c 4/MeV 0.12 60 tal Uncertainty otal Uncertainty C Prediction IC Prediction 0. CC $1\pi^+$ Phys. Rev. D83, 0.08 40 052007 (2011) 0.06 30 0.04 20 0.02 10 CC $1\pi$ 800 1000 1200 1400 1000 1200 200600 800 x10<sup>-39</sup> Neutrino Energy (MeV) **∩**-39 Q<sup>2</sup> (MeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>) $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial O^2}(v_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu^- \pi^0 N') \quad [cm^2 / GeV^2 / CH_2]$ $\sigma(v_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu^{-} \pi^{0} N^{*}) [cm^{2} / CH_{2}]$ Statistical error Statistical error 18 25 Systematic error Systematic error 16 NUANCE NUANCE 2014 $CC \ 1\pi^0$ 12 $CC \ 1\pi^0$ 15 Phys. Rev. D83, 10052009 (2011) 100 0.6 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 0.81.2 1.6 1.8 0.8 $Q^2$ [GeV<sup>2</sup>] Cross-section was much $\int_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{F}_{v}} \int_{\alpha}^{[GeV]} GeV$

# Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Neutral current $\pi^0$ @ MiniBooNE ( $\rm CH_2$ ) and SciBooNE (CH )



Neutral current  $\pi^0$  @ K2K 1kt Water Cherenkov detector (  $H_2O$  )



#### momentum and direction of $\pi^0$

Shape only comparison but the agreements are quite reasonable.

Charged current  $\pi^{\pm}$  production @ MINERnA (CH target)

#### **MINERVA** Pion Reconstruction





Charged current  $\pi^{\pm}$  production @ MINERnA (CH target)<sub>W < 1.8 GeV/c<sup>2</sup></sub>



#### Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^{\pm}$ production @ MINERnA ( CH target )<sub>W < 1.8 GeV/c<sup>2</sup></sub>



#### Results from NuWro agrees quite well

but the observed energy is slightly softer than the prediction. Neut predicts much larger # of events and

the structure may indicate larger contributions

from resonance production.

Charged current  $\pi^0$  production @ MINERnA ( CH target )



#### Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data A. Ramirez @ NuINT17 Charged current $\pi^0$ production @ MINERnA (CH target )



20.9% signal increase from FSI 24.5% signal decrease from FSI Enhancement at ~100MeV id due to  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0$  feed-in. Depletion at ~240MeV is due to pion absorption





Ever / GeV

Charged current  $\pi^+$  production @ T2K (H<sub>2</sub>O target)



#### Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^+$ production @ T2K (H<sub>2</sub>O target )



FIG. 4. Unfolded  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC1 $\pi^+$  differential cross sections as a function of pion kinematics (top), muon kinematics (center),  $\cos(\theta_{(\mu,\pi^+)})$  (bottom left) and  $E_{\nu}^{rec}$  (bottom right) in the reduced phase-space of  $p_{\pi^+} > 200 \text{ MeV}/c$ ,  $p_{\mu} > 200 \text{ MeV}/c$ ,  $\cos(\theta_{\pi^+}) > 0.3$  and  $\cos(\theta_{\mu}) > 0.3$ . For the  $E_{\nu}^{rec}$ , the  $\sigma(E)$  is presented as a model dependent result. The inner (outer) error bars show the statistical (total) uncertainty on the data. The dashed (solid) line shows the NEUT, version 5.1.4.2, (GENIE, version 2.6.4) prediction.

#### Interestingly, NEUT gives smaller cross-section

compared to GENIE in T2K.

May be due to the event selection ( selection of the final state ).

#### Neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^+$ production @ ArgoNeut ( Ar target )



Charged current  $\pi^+$ production @ ArgoNeut ( Ar target )



#### Anti-neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^0$ production @ MINERvA ( CH target )



#### Anti-neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^0$ production @ MINERvA ( CH target )



## Anti-neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^0$ production @ MINERvA ( CH target )



Results from GENIE agrees quite well.

The other two generators seem to be not so bad. GENIE with FSI is larger than without FSI.

The conversions from charged pions have large effect.

Charged current  $\pi^-$ production @ MINERvA ( CH target )



#### Anti-neutrino induced single $\pi$ production data Charged current $\pi^{\pm}$ production @ ArgoNeut ( Ar target ) muon momentum muon angle dp [10<sup>-40</sup> am<sup>2</sup>/(GeV.c)/nucleon] 2.2 2 1.8 ArgoNeuT Data (v.) d0 [10<sup>-40</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/degree/hucleon ArgoNeuT Data (v.) GENIE Expectation GENIE Expectation 6E NuWro Expectation NuWro Expectation 5 GiBUU Expectation GiBUU Expectation NEUT Expectation NEUT Expectation ArgoNeuT ArgoNeuT Preliminary Preliminary з 0.6 0.4 0.2 0<sub>6</sub> 14 p<sub>µ</sub> [GeV/c] 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 θ\_[degrees] pion angle muon/pion angle 0.5 (Loop) 0.45 0.4 0.4 0.35 0.4 0.35 0.3 0.3 0 0.1 0 0.3 0.35 ArgoNeuT Data (v.) ArgoNeuT Data (v.) GENIE Expectation **GENIE Expectation** NuWro Expectation NuWro Expectation GiBUU Expectation GiBUU Expectation NEUT Expectation NEUT Expectation ArgoNeuT ArgoNeuT Preliminary Preliminary 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15 0.1 0.1 0.05 0.05 아 120 140 120 140 160

θ\_ [degrees]

θ... [degrees]

#### Summary

There were few (low energy) anti-neutrino pion production data. Future neutrino oscillation experiments needs to reduce uncertainties of anti-neutrino pion productions.

There are several new neutrino / anti-neutrino induced  $1 \pi$  production data.

However, we need careful treatments of the data because the target material are nucleus and also, energy ranges are rather wide.

Also, event selection criteria (or definition of the signal) are quite different from experiment to experiment.

Comparisons the cross-sections of Carbon, Oxygen and Argon may be useful to understand the nuclear effects of pions.

	v <sub>µ</sub> (10 <sup>-37</sup> cm²/Ar)	v <sub>µ</sub> (10⁻³ଃcm²/Ar)
ArgoNeuT data	2.7±0.5(stat)±0.5(syst)	8.6±0.9(stat)+0.9-1.1(syst)
GENIE	3.8	13.3
NuWro	3.3	11.0
GiBUU	2.6	7.3
NEUT	3.5	11.4

Tingjun Yang (Fermilab) for the ArgoNeuT Collaboration

June 27, 2017 NuINT 2017, University of Toronto



Phys. Rev. C 95, 045203 (2017)