# **KEK Theory Center**

# Neutrino-nucleus interactions in the few GeV region

# Theoretical challenges in neutrino scattering studies: weak pion production off the nucleon

# E. Hernández, U. Salamanca J. Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)









# <u>Outline</u>

- 1. Motivation: Neutrino oscillations, neutrino detectors, nuclear cross sections and systematic errors
- 2. Llewellyn-Smith:  $\Delta(1232)$  & the  $\nu_l N \rightarrow l^- N' \pi$  reaction
- 3. Chiral symmetry and non-resonant contributions
- 4. Deuteron effects and ANL & BNL data
- 5. Unitarity corrections and Watson's theorem
- 6. The  $\nu_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{-} n \pi^{+}$  channel and the crossed  $\Delta$  term: spin 1/2 dof in the  $\Delta$  propagator & contact terms
- 7. Parity-violating contributions to the pion angular differential cross section and T-odd correlations.
- 8. Conclusions

# **Bibliography:**

- Alvarez-Ruso L., Hayato Y. and Nieves J.: *Progress and open questions in the physics of neutrino cross sections,* New J.Phys. 16 (2014) 075015
- Hernández E., Nieves J. and Valverde M.: *Weak Pion Production off the Nucleon*, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 033005
- Hernández E., Nieves J. and Valverde M.: Can One distinguish tau-neutrinos from antineutrinos in neutral-current pion production processes?, Phys. Lett. B647 (2007) 452
- Hernández E., Nieves J., Valverde M. and Vicente-Vacas M.J.: N∆(1232) axial form factors from weak pion production, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 085046
- Alvarez-Ruso L., Hernández E., Nieves J. and Vicente-Vacas M.J.: Watson's theorem and the N∆(1232) transition, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 014016
- Hernández E. and Nieves J.: *Neutrino-induced one-pion production revisited: the.*  $v_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{-} n \pi^{+}$  channel, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) no.5, 053007

# 1. Motivation

- Details on the axial structure of hadrons in the free space and inside of nuclei
- Neutrinos are detected through nuclear interactions



Juan Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)

Theoretical knowledge of QE, 1π and DIS cross sections is important to carry out a precise neutrino oscillation data analysis...

 $^{12}C \rightarrow \text{Liquid scintillators}$  $^{16}O \rightarrow Cerenkov detectors$  $^{40}A \rightarrow TPC's$  (time projection chambers) .... Δ(1232) RESONANCE PEAK X  $l'=v_1$ ,  $\bar{v}_1$ ,  $e,\mu$ ,... γ, W, Z  $l = v_1$ ,  $\overline{v}_1$ ,  $e, \mu$ ,...

EXCITATION OF  $\Delta$  (1232) DEGREES OF FREEDOM









 $\leftarrow \pi^0 \quad \beta > \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow E_{\pi,\mu} > 200 - 300 \text{ MeV}$ 

<u>Pion production</u>  $\rightarrow$  <u>misidentification</u> of 1 Cherenkov ring events that are assumed to be produced by charged current (CC) QE reactions</u>  $\nu_{\alpha} A \rightarrow l^{\alpha} A'$ 

Even distinguishing between  $\mu$ - and e-like rings

- Appearance Probability  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$ : The CC QE signature  $\nu_{e}A \rightarrow e A'$  used to identify  $\nu_{e}$  can be confused with the NC  $1\pi$  production  $\nu_{\mu}A \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}A'\pi^{0}$
- Survival Probability  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})$ : The CC QE signature  $\nu_{\mu}A \rightarrow \mu A'$  used to identify  $\nu_{\mu}$  can be confused with the CC or NC  $\nu_{\mu,\tau}A \rightarrow (\nu_{\mu,\tau} \text{ or } \mu, \tau)A'\pi$  when only <u>one</u> of the particles emits Cherenkov light. For instance, processes ( $\nu_{\mu}$ ,  $\mu, \pi$ ) might produce an <u>incorrect reconstruction of the neutrino energy</u>  $E \rightarrow$ L/E analysis ?

Nuclear cross sections are crucial to reduce the systematic errors of oscillation analysis !

There exist dedicated experiments as MINERvA (FermiLab), which seeks to measure low energy neutrino interactions both in support of neutrino oscillation experiments and also to study the strong dynamics of the nucleon and nucleus that affect these interactions



## **Neutrino Energy Reconstruction:**

QE:  $\nu_{\mu} + \underline{n} \rightarrow p \mu^{-}$  (bound in the nucleus) **GENIE**  $E_{\nu}$  = 1 GeV  $E_{\rm rec} = \frac{ME_{\mu} - m_{\mu}^2/2}{M - E_{\mu} + |\vec{p}_{\mu}|\cos\theta_{\mu}}$ 2000 ₩ All v<sub>u</sub> CC vents/20 3000 3000 v, CCQE CC Resonance QE-like:) problem absorbed or not v<sub>u</sub> CC Resonance, no pions detected pions and... 2500 exp: only  $1\mu$  (from the lepton vertex). But, 2000 for instance if pions are produced: 1500 pion decays and the extra muon is 00 detected (2 muons in the final state) 500

 pion is absorbed or not detected (MC corrected if the pion production cross section is well known...)

Juan Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)

6

0.8

1.2

 $E_{REC}^{v}$  (GeV)



# Quantitative impact in the determination of the oscillation parameters

Effects of a simple model for QE-like events ....

$$N_i^{\text{test}}(\alpha) = \alpha \times N_i^{\text{QE}} + (1 - \alpha) \times N_i^{\text{QE-like}}$$

 $\alpha$  parametrizes the fraction of twonucleon absorption that is neglected in the fit

## P. Coloma, P. Huber, PRL 111 (2013)



Reconstructed from naive QE dynamics



Systematic uncertainties in longbaseline neutrino-oscillation experiments, Artur M Ankowski and Camillo Mariani, J.Phys. G44 (2017) 054001



### **Resonance Production**

Deficiencies of the Rein Sehgal model  $! \Rightarrow$  Improved models  $e^-p \rightarrow e^-X$ ,  $\theta = 20^{\circ}$ , E=2.445 GeV  $W^+$ 700 600 do/(dE' dΩ) [nb/(GeV sr)] Rein Sehgal 500 W W ;π  $\pi'\pi$ ຈ໌π 400 300 N' Ν Ν Ν N 200 100  $W^+$ N(1520) 0 1.2 1.8 1.6 1.4 2 E' [GeV] N(1520)

Electron data  $\Rightarrow$  Resonance vector form factors ! **PCAC**  $\Rightarrow$  Resonance axial form factors ! **Background: chiral symmetry (when possible !)** 



# Nuclear effects are relevant! (see talk by E. Hernández)



There exist some discrepancies between theoretical predictions and data! **Figure 15.** MiniBooNE flux-folded differential  $d\sigma/dp_{\pi}$  cross section for CC1 $\pi^0$  production by  $\nu_{\mu}$  in mineral oil. Data are from [27]. Left: predictions from the cascade approach of [184]. The solid curve corresponds to the full model and the dashed one stands for the results obtained neglecting FSI effects. Right: predictions from the GiBUU transport model of [207]. The dashed curves give the results before FSI, the solid curves those with all FSI effects included. Two different form factors  $C_5^A(q^2)$ , tuned to the ANL and BNL data-sets have been employed and give rise to the systematic uncertainty bands displayed in the figure.

## 2. Llewellyn-Smith: $\Delta(1232)$ & the $\nu_l N \rightarrow l^- N' \pi$ reaction

<u>Theoretical Model</u>  $\nu_l N \to lN'\pi$ ,  $\nu_l N \to \nu_l N'\pi$  (C.H. Llewellyn Smith, 1972): weak excitation of the  $\Delta(1232)$  resonance and its subsequent decay into  $N\pi$ ,



$$\langle \Delta^+; p_\Delta = p + q | j^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | n; p \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(\vec{p}_\Delta) \Gamma^{\alpha\mu}(p,q) u(\vec{p}) \cos \theta_C,$$

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\mu} = \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C}_{3}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{4}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \mathbf{C}_{5}^{\mathbf{A}} g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{6}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M^{2}} q^{\mu} q^{\alpha} \right] \\ + \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C}_{3}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{4}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{5}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q^{\alpha} p^{\mu} \right) \right] \\ + \mathbf{C}_{6}^{\mathbf{V}} g^{\mu\alpha} \right] \gamma_{5}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{3,4,5,6}^{\mathbf{A}} \text{ axial FF's, } \mathbf{C}_{3,4,5,6}^{\mathbf{V}} \text{ vector FF's, furthermore} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\pi N\Delta} = \frac{f^{*}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu} \vec{T}^{\dagger} (\partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) \Psi + \text{h.c.}, \quad f^{*} = 2.14$$

 $eN \to e'\Delta \to e'N'\pi \Rightarrow C_{3,4,5,6}^V$  **FF's.** <u>CVC</u>  $\Rightarrow C_6^V = 0$  and  $(M_V = 0.84 \text{ GeV})$ 

$$\frac{\mathbf{C_3^V(q^2)}}{2.13} = \frac{\mathbf{C_4^V(q^2)}}{-1.51} = \frac{1 - \frac{q^2}{0.776M_V^2}}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M_V^2}} \frac{\mathbf{C_5^V(q^2)}}{0.48} = \frac{1}{(1 - q^2/M_V^2)^2} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M_V^2}}$$

 $C_{3,4,5,6}^{A}$  Axial FF's :  $\Delta^{++}$  ( $\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^{-}p\pi^{+}$ ) data taken in the ANL and BNL bubble chambers (filled in with deuterium)

**Dominant form factor**:  $C_5^A(q^2)$ .  $C_3^A(q^2)$  and  $C_4^A(q^2)$  contributions are small and we have taken as (Adler's model 1968)

$$C_4^A(q^2) = -\frac{C_5^A(q^2)}{4}, \ C_3^A(q^2) = 0$$

PCAC ( $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} \propto m_{\pi}^2$ ) and Goldberger–Treiman

$$C_5^A(0) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{f_\pi}{m_\pi} f^* = 1.2$$

$$\mathbf{C_5^A(q^2)} = \frac{\mathbf{1.2}}{(1 - q^2/\mathbf{M_{A\Delta}^2})^2} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^2}{3\mathbf{M_{A\Delta}^2}}}, \underbrace{\mathbf{C_6^A(q^2)} = \mathbf{C_5^A(q^2)} \frac{M^2}{m_{\pi}^2 - q^2}}_{\text{PCAC}}$$

 $M_{A\Delta}$  fitted to the  $q^2$  dependence of the  $\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^- p\pi^+$  cross section (neutrino energy averaged) with  $(M(\pi N) < 1.4 \text{ GeV})$  measured at ANL and BNL. It varies in the range 0.95 GeV (ANL) – 1.28 GeV (BNL).

E. Paschos, J-Y. Yu and M. Sakuda (PRD69, 014013 (2004)),

 $M_{A\Delta} \sim 1.05~GeV$ 



FIG. 12. Differential cross section  $d\sigma/dQ^2$  evaluated with the selections  $0.5 \le E_v < 6.0$  GeV and  $M(p\pi^+) < 1.4$ GeV. The curve is the flux-averaged prediction of the Adler model with the dipole form factor and  $M_A = 0.95$ GeV.

FIG. 5. The  $Q^2$  distribution for (a) the quasielastic and (b) the  $\Delta^{++}$  production reactions. The curves are the theoretical predictions obtained from least-squares fits with the fitted  $M_A$  values for the  $Q^2 < 3.0 \, (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ .



... but only the  $\Delta$  pole contribution turns out to be an <u>insufficient</u> model, even at the  $\Delta$  peak, and specially close to pion threshold. Close to pion threshold, the pion from the  $(\nu_{\mu}, \mu \pi)$  reaction will not radiate Čerenkov light and thus it would be necessary an improved theoretical model to carry out a proper L/E oscillation analysis.

Such model for the  $\nu_l N \rightarrow lN'\pi$ ,  $\nu_l N \rightarrow \nu_l N'\pi$  should include <u>non resonant terms</u>  $\Rightarrow$  Realization of the axial and vector currents, which couple to the  $W, Z^0$ bosons, for a system of pions and nucleons.

#### **<u>3. Chiral symmetry and non-resonant contributions</u>** PRD76 (2007) 033005

Non-linear  $\sigma$ -Model: EFT involving pions and nucleons which implements spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking.



 $\langle N'\pi | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}-}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{nc}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}) | N \rangle = ? \Leftarrow \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D} \text{ and its pattern of } \mathbf{S}\chi\mathbf{SB}$ 

Two flavor, u and d with mass m, QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \bar{\Psi}_q (i \not\!\!D - m) \Psi_q + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr}(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})$$

with  $D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} - B^{\mu}$ ,  $F^{\mu\nu} = -[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]$ ,  $B^{\mu} = igT^{a}B^{\mu}_{a}$ , matrices in the colour space. Chiral symmetry  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\Psi_q \to \Psi'_q = e^{-i\vec{\theta}_V \cdot \vec{\tau}/2} \Psi_q$$
, isospin rotation  
 $\Psi_q \to \Psi'_q = e^{-i\vec{\theta}_A \cdot \vec{\tau} \gamma_5/2} \Psi_q$ , axial – flavor rotation

 $\delta \mathcal{L}_{QCD} \propto m....$  Currents (Noether)

$$\vec{V}^{\mu} = \bar{\Psi}_{q} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi_{q}, \qquad \partial_{\mu} \vec{V}^{\mu} = 0$$
$$\vec{A}^{\mu} = \bar{\Psi}_{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi_{q}, \qquad \partial_{\mu} \vec{A}^{\mu} = \mathbf{m} \bar{\Psi}_{q} \mathbf{i} \gamma_{5} \vec{\tau} \Psi_{q} \neq 0$$

#### Charges

$$\vec{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{R^3} d^3x \vec{V}^0(\vec{x}, t), \quad \vec{\mathbf{Q}}_5(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{R^3} d^3x \vec{A}^0(\vec{x}, t)$$

 $\vec{Q}$  (isospin) and  $\vec{Q}_5$  (neglecting m) indep. of  $\mathbf{t} \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{conserved}}!!$ 

 $[Q^i, Q^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk}Q^k, \quad [Q^i, Q^j_5] = i\epsilon^{ijk}Q^k_5, \quad [Q^i_5, Q^j_5] = i\epsilon^{ijk}Q^k$  $\underline{S\chi SB}: \vec{Q}|0\rangle = 0 \text{ but } \vec{Q}_5|0\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \pi's \text{ Isotriplet Gold-stone bosons from spontaneous chiral symmetry break-ing.}$ 

# Non-linear $\sigma$ -model $\Rightarrow$ EFT involving pions and nucleons which implements chiral symmetry and its pattern of spontaneous breaking.

If 
$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $U = \frac{f_{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\vec{\tau} \cdot \left[\vec{\phi}\right]/f_{\pi}} = \frac{f_{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} \xi^2$ , with  $f_{\pi} \sim 93$  MeV,  
 $\mathcal{L}_{N\pi} = \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \left[\partial_{\mu} + \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\right] \Psi - M \bar{\Psi} \Psi + g_A \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \Psi$   
 $+ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U\right] \left[ +m_{\pi}^2 \frac{f_{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathrm{Tr}(U + U^{\dagger} - \sqrt{2} f_{\pi}) \right]$   
 $\mathcal{V}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger} + \xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi \right) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger} - \xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi \right)$   
Isospin rotat.  $\xi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\dagger}, \ \Psi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}} \Psi, \quad T_{V} = e^{-i \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{V}}{2}}$   
Axial rotat.  $\xi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \ \Psi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}} \Psi, \quad T_{\Lambda,A} = e^{-i \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{\Lambda,A}}{2}}$   
Isospin rotat.  $\Rightarrow \delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{N}\pi} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{Axial rotat.} \Rightarrow \delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{N}\pi} \left[ \propto m_{\pi}^2 \neq 0 \right]$ 

Up to order 
$$\mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^{4})$$
,  $\mathcal{L}_{N\pi}$  reads,  

$$\mathcal{L}_{N\pi} = \bar{\Psi}[i\partial - M]\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^{2}\vec{\phi}^{2} \quad \text{(kinetic)} + \frac{\mathbf{g}_{A}}{\mathbf{f}_{\pi}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})\Psi - \frac{1}{4\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\mu}\vec{\tau}\left(\vec{\phi}\times\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi}\right)\Psi - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{A}}{6\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{3}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\left[\vec{\phi}^{2}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi} - (\vec{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\vec{\phi}\right]\Psi - \frac{1}{6\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}\left(\vec{\phi}^{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi} - (\vec{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})(\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi})\right) + \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\pi}^{2}}{24\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}(\vec{\phi}^{2})^{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^{4})$$
Contact interactions  $NN\pi$ ,  $\underbrace{NN\pi\pi}_{WT}$ ,  $NN\pi\pi\pi$  and  $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ .  
Parameters:  $f_{\pi}$  and  $g_{A}$ . Noether's currents
$$j^{\mu} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{N\pi}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\varphi_{a})}\delta\varphi_{a}, \quad a = 1, 2, \cdots$$

up to order  $\mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^3)$  ...

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}), \quad \partial_{\mu} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} = \mathbf{0} \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}), \quad \underbrace{\partial_{\mu} \vec{A}^{\mu} \propto m_{\pi}^{2} \dots}_{\text{PCAC}} \end{split}$$

+ isospin relations  $\Rightarrow$  evaluate CC  $\langle N'\pi | j_{cc+}^{\mu}(0), j_{cc-}^{\mu}(0) | N \rangle$   $\langle p\pi^{0} | j_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | n \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle \right]$   $\langle p\pi^{-} | j_{cc-}^{\mu}(0) | p \rangle = \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle$   $\langle n\pi^{-} | j_{cc-}^{\mu}(0) | n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle$  $\langle n\pi^{0} | j_{cc-}^{\mu}(0) | p \rangle = -\langle p\pi^{0} | j_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle \right]$ 

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{A}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \vec{V}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= \mathbf{f}_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{A}}}{2\mathbf{f}_{\pi}} \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\mathbf{5}} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \mathbf{\Psi} + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2\mathbf{f}_{\pi}} \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{A}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$



 $\dots$  improve the WNN transition vertex

$$\langle p; \vec{p}' = \vec{p} + \vec{q} \, | \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{cc}+}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{0}) | n; \vec{p} \, \rangle = \cos \theta_C \, \bar{u}(\vec{p}\,') (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q})) u(\vec{p})$$
$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = 2 \times \left( \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{q}^2) \gamma^{\alpha} + \mathrm{i} \mu_{\mathbf{V}} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{q}^2)}{2M} \sigma^{\alpha\nu} q_{\nu} \right)$$
$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{\frac{g_A}{(1 - q^2/M_A^2)^2}}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{q}^2)} \times \left( \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_5 + \underbrace{\frac{\not{q}}{m_{\pi}^2 - q^2} q^{\alpha} \gamma_5}_{\mathrm{PCAC}} \right), \begin{cases} g_A = 1.26 \\ M_A = 1.05 \text{ GeV} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{F_1^V(q^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{F_1^p(q^2)} - \mathbf{F_1^n(q^2)} \right), \qquad \mu_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{F_2^V(q^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{F_2^p(q^2)} - \mu_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{F_2^n(q^2)} \right),$$
  
furthermore  $\mathbf{CVC} \Rightarrow F_{PF}(q^2) = F_{CT}^V(q^2) = 2F_1^V(q^2) = F_1^p - F_1^n$ 



 $\vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} = f_{\pi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}(\vec{\phi}\times\vec{\tau})\Psi + g_{A}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}}\left[\vec{\phi}(\vec{\phi}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi}\right] - \frac{g_{A}}{4f^{2}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\left[\vec{\tau}\vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi}(\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\phi})\right]\Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f^{3}})$ 

 $\nu_l N \rightarrow l N' \pi \pi, \nu_l N \rightarrow \nu_l N' \pi \pi$  close to threshold.  $N^*(1440)$  degrees of freedom (PRD77 (2008) 053009)



# Evaluation of NC $\langle N'\pi | j_{nc}^{\mu}(0) | N \rangle$ :

$$j_{\rm nc}^{\mu} = \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} (1 - 2\sin^2\theta_W - \gamma_5) \tau_0^1 \Psi_q - 4\sin^2\theta_W \mathbf{s}_{\rm em, IS}^{\mu} - \bar{\Psi}_s \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \Psi_s$$

$$s_{\rm em}^{\mu} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{6} \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_q - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_s \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_s}_{\mathbf{s}_{\rm em, IS}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} \underbrace{\frac{\tau_0}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\mathbf{s}_{\rm em, IS}} \Psi_q$$

- ME's  $j_{cc+}^{\mu} \Rightarrow$  ME's isovector  $(\tau_0^1) j_{nc}^{\mu}$  contribution
- $\Delta$  does not contribute to the isoscalar  $j^{\mu}_{nc}$  part

• 
$$\langle n\pi^+ | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | p \rangle = \langle p\pi^- | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | n \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^0 | \mathbf{s}^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},\mathbf{IS}} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = -\sqrt{2} \langle n\pi^0 | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | n \rangle$$

$$\langle p\pi^0 \big| s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} \big| p \rangle = -\frac{\langle n\pi^0 \big| s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em}}(0) \big| n \rangle - \langle p\pi^0 \big| s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em}}(0) \big| p \rangle}{2}$$



 $\underline{\text{Results}}$  :



$$\begin{split} (W_{\mathrm{CC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\nu)} &= \frac{1}{4M} \overline{\sum_{\mathrm{spins}}} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E'_N} \delta^4(p' + k_\pi - q - p) \langle \mathbf{N}' \pi | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{N} \rangle \langle \mathbf{N}' \pi | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma}(0) | \mathbf{N} \rangle^* \\ \mathbf{L}_{\mu\sigma}^{(\nu)} &= (\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(\nu)})_{\mu\sigma} + \mathrm{i}(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(\nu)})_{\mu\sigma} = k'_{\mu}k_{\sigma} + k'_{\sigma}k_{\mu} - g_{\mu\sigma}k \cdot k' + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{\mu\sigma\alpha\beta}k'^{\alpha}k^{\beta} \\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{CC} : \bar{\nu}_l(k) + N(p) \rightarrow l^+(k') + N(p') + \pi(k_\pi) \\ \mathbf{L}_{\mu\sigma}^{(\overline{\nu})} &= \mathbf{L}_{\sigma\mu}^{(\nu)}, \quad \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}-}^{\sigma} \\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{NC} : \nu(k) + N(p) \rightarrow \nu(k') + N(p') + \pi(k_\pi) \\ \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{nc}}^{\sigma}, \quad (\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{NC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\nu)} = (\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{NC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\overline{\nu})} \\ \mathrm{Note} \quad \underbrace{(E', \theta')}_{\mathrm{outgoing lepton}} \leftrightarrow q^2, \underbrace{W^2 = (p+q)^2}_{\pi\mathrm{N inv. mass}} \end{split}$$

$$\int_{M+m_{\pi}}^{\boxed{1.4\,\text{GeV}}} dW \frac{d\,\overline{\sigma}_{\nu_{\mu}\mu^{-}}}{dq^2 dW}, \quad \nu_{\mu}\mathbf{p} \to \mu^{-}\mathbf{p}\pi^{+}$$

 $v_{\mu} p \rightarrow \mu p \pi^{+}$  averaged over the ANL flux, W < 1.4 GeV



Juan Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)



problems?

Juan Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)



 $\sigma_{\rm NC}/\sigma_{\rm CC}$  ANL cross sections at E = 0.6 - 1.2 GeV

	ANL	Our results
$R_+ = \sigma(\nu p \to \nu n \pi^+) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^- p \pi^+)$	$0.12\pm0.04$	0.12 - 0.10
$R_0 = \sigma(\nu p \to \nu p \pi^0) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^- p \pi^+)$	$0.09\pm0.05$	0.18 - 0.14
$R_{-} = \sigma(\nu n \to \nu p \pi^{-}) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^{-} p \pi^{+})$	$0.11\pm0.022$	0.12 - 0.09

NC: Cross sections ( $10^{-38}$  cm<sup>2</sup>) for  $\langle E \rangle = 2.2$  GeV (<u>no cut in W</u>)

	CERN	Our results
$\sigma(\nu p \rightarrow \nu p \pi^0)$	$0.130 \pm 0.020$	$0.105 {\pm} 0.006$
$\sigma(\nu p \to \nu n \pi^+)$	$0.080 \pm 0.020$	$0.091 {\pm} 0.003$
$\sigma(\nu n \to \nu n \pi^0)$	$0.080 \pm 0.020$	$0.104{\pm}0.006$
$\sigma(\nu n \to \nu p \pi^-)$	$0.110 \pm 0.030$	$0.082{\pm}0.003$



Below the  $\tau$  prod. threshold, Distinguish  $\nu_{\tau}$  from  $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ ?

PLB 647 (2007) 452

How to reconcile ANL & BNL data and still have  $C_5^A(0) \sim 1.2$ 

K.M. Graczyk et al. [Phys. Rev. D 80, 093001 (2009)]

- ANL and BNL data were measured in deuterium
  - Deuteron effects were estimated by L. Alvarez-Ruso et al [Phys. Rev. C 59, 3386 (1999)] to reduce the cross section by 5-10%.
- Large uncertainties in the neutrino flux normalization, 10% for BNL data and 20% for ANL data.

K.M. Graczyk et al. made a combined fit to both ANL&BNL data, assuming that only the  $\Delta$  mechanism contributed, including deuteron effects, and treating flux uncertainties as systematic errors. They found

$$C_5^A(0) = 1.19 \pm 0.08, \qquad M_{A\Delta} = 0.94 \pm 0.03 \,\text{GeV}$$

for a pure dipole parameterization for  $C_5^A(q^2)$ . Good agreement with the off-diagonal GTR is found! **No background terms included** !

# 4. Deuteron effects and ANL & BNL data



#### Background terms included

PRD 81 085046 (2010): We included background terms in a combined fit to ANL & BNL data that took into account <u>deuteron effects</u> and flux normalization uncertainties.

We used a simpler dipole parameterization for  $C_5^A(q^2)$ 

$$C_5^A(q^2) = \frac{C_5^A(0)}{\left(1 - q^2/M_{A\Delta}^2\right)^2}$$



#### PRD 81 (2010) 085046

In some of the fits we relaxed Adler's constraints allowing

$$C_{3,4}^A(q^2) = C_{3,4}^A(0) \frac{C_5^A(q^2)}{C_5^A(0)}$$

exploring the possibility of extracting some direct information on  $C_{3,4}^A(0)$ 

	$C_{5}^{A}(0)$	$M_{A\Delta}/{ m GeV}$	$C_{3}^{A}(0)$	$C_4^A(0)$	$\chi^2/{ m dof}$
$I^*$ (only $\Delta P$ )	$1.08\pm0.10$	$0.92\pm0.06$	Ad	Ad	0.36
II*	$0.95\pm0.11$	$0.92\pm0.08$	Ad	Ad	0.49
III (only $\Delta P$ )	$1.13\pm0.10$	$0.93\pm0.06$	Ad	Ad	0.32
IV	$1.00\pm0.11$	$0.93 \pm 0.07$	Ad	$\operatorname{Ad}$	0.42
V	$1.08\pm0.14$	$0.91\pm0.10$	$-1.0\pm1.4$	Ad	0.40
VI	$1.08\pm0.14$	$0.86 \pm 0.15$	Ad	$-1.0\pm1.3$	0.40
VII	$1.07\pm0.15$	$1.0\pm0.3$	$1\pm4$	$-2\pm4$	0.44

\* No deuteron effects included.

#### Comparison with ANL & BNL data



68% confidence level bands are shown. The total experimental errors shown contain flux uncertainties that are considered as systematic errors and have been added in quadratures to the statistical ones.

Later we included the D13(1520) resonance [E.Hernández., J. Nieves and M.J. Vicente-Vacas, PRD 87 (2013) 113009]



### 5. Unitarity corrections and Watson's theorem PRD 93 (2016) 014016

Watson's final-state-interaction theorem (unitarity and timereversal invariance): The phase of an amplitude leading to a final state with two strongly interacting particles in a given partial wave is the same as the scattering phase of that pair,  $\delta$ . [PRD 88 (1952) 1163 ]



# Optical theorem in partial waves

$$SS^{\dagger} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i\left(T - T^{\dagger}\right) = T^{\dagger}T$$

$$a + b \quad \rightarrow \quad 1 + 2$$

$$i\left[\langle\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}|T_{J}|\lambda_{a}\lambda_{b}\rangle - \langle\lambda_{a}\lambda_{b}|T_{J}|\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\rangle^{*}\right] \quad \sim \quad \sum_{\lambda_{1}'\lambda_{2}'} \langle\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}|T_{J}|\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}'\rangle\langle\lambda_{1}'\lambda_{2}'|T_{J}|\lambda_{a}\lambda_{b}\rangle$$

# Optical theorem in partial waves

$$SS^{\dagger} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i\left(T - T^{\dagger}\right) = T^{\dagger}T$$

$$a + b \quad \to \quad 1 + 2$$

$$i[\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle - \langle \lambda_a \lambda_b | T_J | \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle^*] \quad \sim \quad \sum_{\lambda_1' \lambda_2'} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J^{\dagger} | \lambda_1' \lambda_2' \rangle \langle \lambda_1' \lambda_2' | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle$$

Using CM helicity states  $|p; JM\lambda_1\lambda_2\rangle$  and <u>invariance</u> <u>under</u> <u>time</u> <u>reversal</u>,

$$\underbrace{\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle}_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2}} = \underbrace{\langle \lambda_a \lambda_b | T_J | \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle}_{\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \to \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}}$$

$$\mathbf{R} \ni \operatorname{Im}\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle \sim \sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J^{\dagger}|\lambda_1'\lambda_2'\rangle\langle\lambda_1'\lambda_2'|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle \in \mathbf{R}$$

Considering intermediate strong interacting  $\pi N$  states, Watson's theorem for the weak  $WN \rightarrow N\pi$  process implies,

$$\sum_{\lambda_N''} \underbrace{\langle \lambda_N' | T_J^{\dagger}(s) |}_{N\pi} \underbrace{\lambda_N'' \langle \lambda_N'' | T_J(s) |}_{N\pi} \underbrace{\lambda_N \lambda_W}_{NW} \in \mathbb{R}$$

In terms  $\pi N | p; LSJM \rangle$  states

PRD 93 (2016) 014016



For J = 3/2, T = 3/2 and neglecting the L = 2 multipole,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{P_{33}} \left| \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{J}=\frac{3}{2},\mathbf{T}=\frac{3}{2}}^{\mathbf{WN}\to\mathbf{N}\pi} \right| \mathbf{J} = \frac{3}{2}, \mathbf{M} = \lambda_{\mathbf{N}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{W}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{N}}\lambda_{\mathbf{W}} \right\rangle \times \underbrace{e^{-i\delta_{P_{33}}(s)}}_{\mathbf{L}_{2\mathbf{J}2\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{N}\pi \text{ phase shift}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

There is a total of 6  $[(\lambda_N = \pm \frac{1}{2}) \times (\lambda_W = 0, \pm 1)]$  amplitudes which should have the same phase  $(\delta_{P_{33}}(s), s = (p_N + p_\pi)^2)$ .

Using CM three momentum helicity states  $|p;\theta\phi\lambda_1\lambda_2\rangle$ 

$$|P_{33}M\rangle = \int d\Omega \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \mathcal{D}_{M\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}*}(\phi,\theta,-\phi) \left(1\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{2}|0\lambda\lambda\right) |p;\theta\phi\lambda\rangle$$
$$p;\theta = 0 \phi = 0\lambda_N\lambda_W\rangle = \sum_{J} \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{4\pi}} |p;JM = \lambda_N - \lambda_W, \lambda_N\lambda_W\rangle$$

$$\int \mathbf{d}\Omega \sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_{\mathbf{N}}-\lambda_{\mathbf{W}}\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\phi,\theta,-\phi) \left(\mathbf{1}\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}\frac{\mathbf{3}}{\mathbf{2}}|\mathbf{0}\lambda\lambda\right) \underbrace{\left\langle p';\theta\phi\lambda \middle| T_{J=\frac{3}{2},T=\frac{3}{2}}^{WN\to N\pi} \middle| p;00\lambda_{N}\lambda_{W}\right\rangle}_{\text{related to }\mathbf{\bar{u}}(\mathbf{p}',\lambda)(\mathbf{O}_{\mu}\epsilon_{\lambda_{\mathbf{W}}}^{\mu})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p},\lambda_{\mathbf{N}})} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\delta_{\mathbf{P}_{33}}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

There is a total of 6  $[(\lambda_N = \pm \frac{1}{2}) \times (\lambda_W = 0, \pm 1)]$  amplitudes which should have the same phase  $(\delta_{P_{33}}(s), s = (p_N + p_\pi)^2)$ .

We force the correct phase for <u>two</u> different linear combinations of these amplitudes that correspond to the two multipoles where the  $\Delta$  mechanism (vector and axial contributions) is dominant. For instance, in the case of the vector  $\Delta$  contribution, this is the  $M_{1+}$  multipole. We denote the corresponding axial multipole as  $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta}$ .

We follow a generalization of M.G. Olsson's procedure [NPB 78 (1974) 55] introducing two small phases  $\phi_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^2)$  which correct the vector and axial  $\Delta$  contributions such that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(T_{\Delta}^{V,A}(s,q^{2})\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^{2})}+T_{B}^{V,A}(s,q^{2})\right)^{M_{1+};\mathcal{A}_{\Delta}}\mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\delta_{\mathbf{P}_{33}}(\mathbf{s})}\right]=0$$

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\mu} = \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C_3^A}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{\!\!\!}q - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C_4^A}}{M^2} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \mathbf{C_5^A} g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{C_6^A}}{M^2} q^{\mu} q^{\alpha} \right] \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^2)} \\ + \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C_3^V}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{\!\!\!}q - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C_4^V}}{M^2} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C_5^V}}{M^2} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q^{\alpha} p^{\mu} \right) \right] \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^2)} \gamma_5$$

We include chiral background terms in a combined fit to ANL & BNL data that takes into account deuteron effects, flux normalization uncertainties and unitarity corrections (Watson's theorem) PRD 93 (2016) 014016

TABLE I. Results from different fits to the ANL and BNL data. All fits include the ANL [46] flux-averaged  $d\sigma/dQ^2$  differential cross section, with a  $W_{\pi N} = \sqrt{s} < 1.4$  GeV cut, and the integrated cross sections for the three lowest neutrino energies (0.65, 0.9, and 1.1 GeV) of the BNL data set [47]. Fits I\*, II\*, and IV are taken from Ref. [36]. In all cases, Adler's constraints ( $C_3^A = 0$ ,  $C_4^A = -C_5^A/4$ ) [13,14] are imposed. Deuteron effects [36] are included in fit IV and in those carried out in this work. The nonresonant chiral background contributions are included in all cases, with the exception of fit I\*. For  $C_5^A(q^2)$ , a dipole form,  $C_5^A(q^2) = C_5^A(0)/(1 - q^2/M_{A\Delta}^2)^2$ , has been used in all fits except in the one carried out in Ref. [31], where an extra factor  $1/(1 - q^2/3M_{A\Delta})$  was included [see Eq. (48) of that reference]. Finally, *r* is the Gaussian correlation coefficient between  $C_5^A(0)$  and  $M_{A\Delta}$ . For reference, the prediction of the GTR is  $C_5^A(0) = 1.15 - 1.2$ .

	$C_{5}^{A}(0)$	$M_{A\Delta}/{ m GeV}$	Data	r	$\chi^2/dof$
PRD 76 (2007) 033005	$0.867\pm0.075$	$0.985\pm0.082$	ANL	-0.85	0.40
Fit I* (only $\Delta$ pole) no deuteron effects included Fit II* no deuteron effects included. PRD 81 (2010) 085046 Fit IV (with deuteron effects)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.08 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.95 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.00 \pm 0.11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.92 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.92 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	ANL & BNL ANL & BNL ANL & BNL	-0.06 -0.08 -0.08	0.36 0.49 0.42
<b>VATSON</b> (unitarized + deuteron effects) fit A	$1.12\pm0.11$	$0.954\pm0.063$	ANL & BNL	-0.08	0.46

#### $C_5^A(0)$ compatible with its GTR value (~ 1.2) at the 1 $\sigma$ level.



moderately small vector and axial Olsson phases!



ANL and BNL reanalyzed data: C. Wilkinson, P. Rodrigues, S. Cartwright, L. Thompson, and K. McFarland, PRD 90 (2014) 112017 (similar results)



# <u>6. The $\nu_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu^{-} n \pi^{+}$ channel ...</u> PRD95 (2017) 053007



In the zero width limit, the  $\Delta$  propagator is given by

$$G_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta}) = \frac{P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^2 - M_{\Delta}^2 + i\epsilon}$$

with

$$P^{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta}) = -(p_{\Delta} + M_{\Delta}) \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{p_{\Delta}^{\mu} p_{\Delta}^{\nu}}{M_{\Delta}^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - p_{\Delta}^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}}{M_{\Delta}} \right]$$

$$P_{\mu\nu}(p) = \left(P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) + (p^2 - M_{\Delta}^2) \left[\frac{2}{3M_{\Delta}^2}(p + M_{\Delta})\frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} - \frac{1}{3M_{\Delta}}\left(\frac{p^{\rho}p_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu\rho}}{p^2} + \frac{p^{\rho}p_{\mu}\gamma_{\rho\nu}}{p^2}\right)\right]$$
spin-1/2
with

$$P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) = -(\not p + M_{\Delta}) \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3p^2} \left( \not p \gamma_{\mu} p_{\nu} + p_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \not p \right) \right].$$

 $P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p)$  satisfies the relations

$$0 = [p, P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p)] = p^{\mu} P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) = P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) p^{\nu} = \gamma^{\mu} P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) = P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) \gamma^{\nu},$$
$$P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p) [P^{\frac{3}{2}}(p)]^{\nu\rho} = -(p + M_{\Delta}) [P^{\frac{3}{2}}(p)]_{\mu}^{\rho}$$

being the true spin-3/2 projection operator

see also discussion of consistent couplings to select spin-3/2 dof [V. Pascalutsa, Phys. Lett. B 503 (2001) 85]

#### the spin-1/2 component does not propagate giving rise to contact interactions

In an EFT the strength of the contact terms have to be fitted to experiment. According to this, we propose a minimal modification of our model, in which the contact terms that derive from the spin 1/2 part of the  $\Delta$  propagator are multiplied by an extra parameter (low energy constant), that will be fitted to data.

$$\frac{P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + i\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta}) + c\left(P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta}) - \frac{p_{\Delta}^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{2}}P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p_{\Delta})\right)}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + i\epsilon} = \frac{P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + i\epsilon} + c\,\delta P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + iM_{\Delta}\Gamma_{\Delta}} + c\,\delta P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})$$

$$= \frac{p_{\Delta}^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{2}}\frac{P_{\mu\nu}^{\frac{3}{2}}(p_{\Delta})}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + iM_{\Delta}\Gamma_{\Delta}} + \frac{(1+c)(p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2}) + icM_{\Delta}\Gamma_{\Delta}}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + iM_{\Delta}\Gamma_{\Delta}} \delta P_{\mu\nu}(p_{\Delta})$$

- the LEC *c* is a free parameter that will be fitted to data
- *c* = **0** original model
- c = -1 only propagation of spin-3/2 dof (consistent  $\pi N\Delta$  coupling, see V. Pascalutsa) in the  $\Delta$  propagator, up to finite  $\Delta$  width corrections.





Olsson phases are significantly smaller than in the previous model. This means the present model without the phases is closer to satisfying Watson theorem!

# The $\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^{-}p\pi^{+}$ at higher energies for $W_{\pi N} < 1.4$ GeV



Besides, the terms that come with the  $C_3^A$  and  $C_4^A$  nucleon-to-Delta axial form factors become more relevant at higher energies, since larger  $q^2$  values are allowed. Deviations from Adler's constraints ( $C_3^A(q^2) = 0, C_4^A(q^2) = -C_5^A(q^2)/4$ ), that we implement so far, might play a role in describing the data at higher energies.

# Effect of the new terms in pion photoproduction

The model for pion photoproduction is constructed from the vector part of our weak pion production model, including the implementation of Watson theorem



# Effect of the new terms in pion eletroproduction





# Parity violation

## 7. Parity violating....





## ... new NC neutrino–antineutrino asymmetries



results from the old-model (no Watson theorem, no modified propagator etc..). Update is needed !

$$L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\sigma} = (\mathbf{L}^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{s}})_{\mu\sigma} + \mathrm{i}(\mathbf{L}^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mu\sigma} = k'_{\mu}k_{\sigma} + k'_{\sigma}k_{\mu} - g_{\mu\sigma}k \cdot k' + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{\mu\sigma\alpha\beta}k'^{\alpha}k^{\beta}$$

By construction (similar for both CC and NC),

$$W^{\mu\sigma} = \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mu\sigma} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mu\sigma}, \quad W^{\mu\nu}_{s,a} = \left(W^{\mu\nu}_{s,a}\right)^{\mathrm{PC}} + \left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}}^{\mu\nu}\right)^{\mathrm{PV}}$$

$$(W_{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PC}} = W_{1}g^{\mu\nu} + W_{2}p^{\mu}p^{\nu} + W_{3}q^{\mu}q^{\nu} + W_{4}k_{\pi}^{\mu}k_{\pi}^{\nu} + \cdots$$

$$(W_{a}^{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PC}} = W_{14}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{\alpha}q_{\beta} + W_{15}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{\alpha}k_{\pi\beta} + W_{16}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q_{\alpha}k_{\pi\beta} + \cdots$$

$$(\mathbf{W}_{s}^{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PV}} = \mathbf{W}_{8}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\epsilon_{.\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\nu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\alpha}\mathbf{p}^{\beta}\mathbf{q}^{\gamma} + \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\epsilon_{.\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\mu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\alpha}\mathbf{p}^{\beta}\mathbf{q}^{\gamma}\right) + \cdots$$

$$(\mathbf{W}_{a}^{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PV}} = \mathbf{W}_{11}(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\mathbf{p}^{\nu} - \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\mathbf{p}^{\mu}) + \mathbf{W}_{12}(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\nu} - \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\mu}) + \cdots$$

## **Under Parity**

$$L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu} \to (L^{\nu\mu})^{(\nu)}, \quad (W_{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PC}} \to (W^{\nu\mu})^{\mathrm{PC}}, \quad (\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu})^{\mathrm{PV}} \to -(\mathbf{W}^{\nu\mu})^{\mathrm{PV}}$$

- $d^{5}\sigma/d\Omega(\hat{k'})dE'd\Omega(\hat{k}_{\pi})$  is not inv. under parity, since the pseudovector  $\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}$  is used to define the Y axis.
- $d^{3}\sigma/d\Omega(\hat{k'})dE'$  <u>scalar</u>, except for the factor  $|\vec{k'}|/|\vec{k}| \Rightarrow$  parity violation **disappears** when performing the  $\int d\Omega^{*}(\hat{k}_{\pi})$

Only direct

 $M_A$ 

= 1.05 GeV



• Non-resonant terms are needed to produce non-vanishing parity violating structure functions

**<u>8. Conclusions</u>**: Model for CC and NC weak pion production off the nucleon,

- In addition to the  $\Delta$  resonance, we include **non-resonant contributions**  $\leftarrow$  QCD S $\chi$ SB.
- Non resonant contributions are important  $\Rightarrow$  re-adjust of  $C_5^A(q^2)$ . GTR prediction  $C_5^A(0) \sim 1.2$ .
  - Fit to ANL  $\implies C_5^A(0) = 0.867 \pm 0.075$
  - Fit to ANL & BNL + normalization uncertainties + deuteron effects  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.11$
  - Fit to ANL & BNL + normalization uncertainties + deuteron effects + unitarity corrections (Watson's theorem)  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 1.12 \pm 0.11$ , but poor description of  $\nu_{\mu} p \rightarrow n \pi^+$  reaction
  - Addition of extra contact interaction terms that mostly cancel the propagation of spin-1/2 dof in the  $\Delta$  propagator (related to the use of a consistent  $\pi N\Delta$  coupling, see V. Pascalutsa)  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 1.18 \pm 0.07$  and much better description of data, including the  $\nu_{\mu} p \rightarrow n \pi^+$ reaction and pion photo- and electro-production. Olsson phases become also much smaller. Nevertheless, FSI effects on single pion production off the deuteron might induce corrections on the nucleon spectator approximation, and they might be of special relevance precisely in the  $\nu_{\mu} p \rightarrow n \pi^+$  channel (T. Sato et al.)
- There exist parity violation (T-odd correlations) effects due to the interferences between the non resonant and Δ contributions.
- $\nu \overline{\nu}$  asymmetries might be used to distinguish  $\nu_{\tau}$  from  $\overline{\nu}_{\tau}$  below the  $\tau$  -lepton production threshold. Juan Nieves, IFIC (CSIC & UV)