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## 1. Phenomenological improvement of $0 v \beta \beta$ nuclear matrix element (NME) of the shell model and QRPA

J. T. and Y. Iwata, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 136, 908 (2021)

Modification of the shell model (SM) $0 \mathrm{v} \beta \beta$ GT NME

Corresponding to 1-major valence shell.

$$
M_{\mathrm{GT}}^{(0 \nu)}(\mathrm{SM}, \text { modified })=M_{\mathrm{GT}}^{(0 \nu)}(\text { SM, 1- maj. val. sh. }) \times \frac{S_{2}}{S_{1}}
$$



## Justification

$$
M_{\mathrm{GT}}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{SM}, \text { modified })=M_{\mathrm{GT}}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{SM}, 1-\text { maj. val. sh. }) \times \frac{S_{2}}{S_{1}}
$$

| Shell | Max. 1p-1h energy <br> (Woods-Saxon) <br> $(M e V)$ | Max. $E_{\text {exc }}$ from GT str. fns. <br> of SM $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p f$ | $8.8\left(1 f_{5 / 2}-1 f_{7 / 2}\right)$ | 7.7 |
| spf | $14.4\left(1 f_{5 / 2}-1 d_{5 / 2}\right)$ |  |

$$
\frac{\text { Run. sum } M_{G T}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{QRPA}, 14.4 \mathrm{MeV})}{\operatorname{Run} . \operatorname{sum} M_{G T}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{QRPA}, 8.8 \mathrm{MeV})}=1.31, \quad \frac{M_{G T}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{SM}, s d p f)}{M_{G T}^{(0 v)}(\mathrm{SM}, p f)}=1.30
$$

## Evaluation of possible region of modified QRPA result

Anticoherent lin Quenching factor to GT- str. ¡oherent limit $\left({ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca} \rightarrow{ }^{48} \mathrm{Sc}\right)$

| $\boldsymbol{M}_{\text {GT }}^{(\mathbf{0 v} \boldsymbol{v}}(\mathbf{Q R P A})$ | $0.593(\mathrm{SM})$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.5($ QRPA $)$, |  | in $E_{\text {exc }}<13 \mathrm{MeV}$


$M_{G T}^{(0 v)}($ QRPA $)$
Reduction in low- $E$ rgn.
_ Enhancement in high- $E$ rgn.
$+\begin{gathered}\text { Enhancement } \\ \text { in high- } E \text { rgn } .\end{gathered}$
$-\left(1-R_{q}\right) M_{G T}^{(0 v)}($ QRPA, low $E)$


Each has two comp. related to trsn. ME from initial and to final states

## Modified $0 v \beta \beta$ NME of ${ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}$



## My speculation

The randomness limit is closer to the true value than the coherent and anticoherent limits.

Sign of correction terms unknown


No modification for QRPA

- Fermi transition
- Coordinate operators do not cause quenching


## 2. The discrepancy problem of running sum of $2 v \beta \beta$ NME

A. Gando et al., PRL 122, 192501 (2019)

Effective axial-vector current coupling
Running sum for $2 \mathrm{v} \beta \beta$ NME $\times\left(g_{A}^{\text {eff }}\right)^{2}$ of ${ }^{136} \mathrm{Xe} \rightarrow{ }^{136} \mathrm{Ba}$


Fitted to this (exp.) using

- $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{SM})$
- isoscalar pn paring intn.
(QRPA)
Why was the $2 v \beta \beta$ used?
Because the main part of their paper is on a higher-order term of the $2 v \beta \beta$ NME, which was extracted from their exp. data. (My speculation).


## Variety of results



My QRPA calculation of $M_{\mathrm{GT}}^{(2 v)}$
Skyrme + Coulomb + contact isovector and isoscalar pairing ( $p p, n n$, and $p n$ ) interactions.

Menéndez Horoi Šimkovic Terasaki

| Method | SM |  | QRPA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variation of <br> comp. of $M^{(2 v)}$ | Small | Large | Large | Small |

The cause of the discrepancy problem is not the theoretical differences between SM and QRPA.

## Changing interaction (my QRPA cal.)



Strength of the isoscalar pn pairing intn. $G_{p n}^{\text {IS }}$ is increased (previously $-55.0 \mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ ).

Enhancing an interaction strength A local decrease in the running sum

Candidate of the cause of the discrepancy problem: difference in the interaction strengths

Explain this analytically. $\Rightarrow$ confirming the cause.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 v \beta \beta \text { NME } \\
& M^{(2 v)} \cong \sum_{B} \frac{m_{e} c^{2}}{E_{B}-\bar{M}}\left\langle\check{\text { Final state }} \begin{array}{l}
(Z+2, N-2)
\end{array} \quad \text { Initial state }(Z, N)\right. \\
& \text { Mean value of } I \text { and } F \text { masses GT operator }
\end{aligned}
$$

The analytical discussion using the separable approximation

- Matrix element of two-body interaction

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi C_{\mu i} C_{v j}, \quad[\chi<0(\text { attractive })] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Pairing correlations } \\
& \text { ignored }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Exchange terms neglected in derivation of the RPA eq.

Eq. to determine exc. state energy $E_{B}$ :

$$
S\left(E_{B}\right) \equiv 2 \sum_{\mu i} \frac{\left|C_{\mu i}\right|^{2}\left(\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{i}\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{i}\right)^{2}-E_{B}^{2} \\
\text { single-particle energies }
\end{array}\right.}=-\frac{1}{\chi}
$$



Suppose that $E=3$ is the unperturbed energy $\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{i}$ of GT-GR on $|I\rangle$
i) $\chi<0$ and $\approx 0$
$E_{B}$ closest to 3 is slightly lower than that.
ii) $\chi<0$ and $|\chi|$ : large
$E_{B^{\prime}}$ higher than 3 is closest to 3 .

## Creation operator of the intermediate states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O_{B}^{\dagger}=\cdots+\frac{N_{B} C_{\mu 3 i 3}}{3-E_{B}} c_{\mu 3}^{\dagger} c_{i 3}+\frac{N_{B} C_{\mu 4 i 4}}{4-E_{B}} c_{\mu 4}^{\dagger} c_{i 4}+\cdots, \\
& O_{B^{\prime}}^{\dagger}=\cdots+\frac{N_{B^{\prime}} C_{\mu 3 i 3}}{3-E_{B^{\prime}}} c_{\mu 3}^{\dagger} c_{i 3}^{5}+\frac{N_{B^{\prime}} C_{\mu 4 i 4}^{4}}{4-E_{B^{\prime}}} c_{\mu 4}^{\dagger} c_{i 4}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

$N_{B}$ : normalization

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{GT}^{-} \mathrm{GR}\right| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}|I\rangle=\langle I| O_{B} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}|I\rangle \text { or }\langle I| O_{B^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}|I\rangle
$$ const. of $O_{B}^{\dagger}$

The NME of GT-GR changes its sign with enhancement of $\chi$

NME from intermediate to final state

$$
\left.\langle F| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}|B\rangle \cong\langle F| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-} \mid \text {others }\right\rangle
$$

$=\langle F| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}\left(\cdots+\frac{N_{B F} C_{i 2 \mu 2}}{2-E_{B F}} c_{i 2}^{\dagger} c_{\mu 2}+\frac{N_{B F} C_{i 4 \mu 4}}{4-E_{B F}} c_{i 4}^{\dagger} c_{\mu 4}+\cdots\right)|F\rangle$.
The GT-GR comp. is missing.
$C_{i 2 \mu 2}, \cdots$ are smaller than that of the GT-GR comp.
The possibility of sign change of $\langle F| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau^{-}|B\rangle$ at the $\mathrm{GT}^{-} \mathrm{GR}$ energy is low.

The significant decrease in the running sum at the GT- ${ }^{-}$RR implies that the interaction is stronger than that of calculations with less decrease.

## The cause of the problem is the difference in the interaction strength.

## Exp. data and calculation of $g_{A}^{2} \times \mathrm{GT}^{-}$strength


${ }^{136} \mathrm{Xe}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, t\right){ }^{136} \mathrm{Cs}$ and e capture J. T., Phys. Rev. C, 100, 034325 (2019)
Exp. data from D. Frekers et al., Nucl. Phys. A 916, 219 (2013);
$J . T$. used $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}=0.49$. to reproduce exp. half-life of $2 v \beta \beta$ decay

## 3. Vertex correction to $0 \mathrm{v} \beta \beta$ NME

QRPA is good for ${ }^{136} \mathrm{Xe}$, but the $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}$ for the $2 v \beta \beta$ NME needs quenching. Many-body effects in the transition operator are indicated.

Effects not described by the lowest-order transition operator with the perturbed initial and final states - vertex correction.

I calculate the higher-order* terms of the effective transition operator to obtain $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}$.
*Higher order in terms of the interaction vertexes.
Calculation of the vertex correction and $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}$ is an important step toward the solution of the uncertainty problem of the $0 \mathrm{v} \beta \beta$ NME.

The $g_{A}^{\text {eff }}$ is useful for comparison of the $0 v \beta \beta$ and $2 v \beta \beta$ decays.

Goldstone diagrams for nucleons


Exchange ME



Two-body current ME
The NN interaction is vertically between the two neutrino vertexes.


Feynman diagram including vertex correction by meson exchange interaction (wavy line). Every part is a propagator.

Basic idea to derive equation of vertex correction to NME Extension of the nucleon part of the usual lowest-order equation of the $0 v \beta \beta$ NME according to the RayleighSchrödinger perturbation theory.

I pick up terms corresponding to those diagrams from the general equation of the second-order perturbation with approximations for feasibility of calculation.

Equation of the exchange ME

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.M_{\text {ex }}^{(0 v)}=\sum_{B_{F} B_{I}} \sum_{\kappa \nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \lambda} \sum_{\mu \nu} W_{\mu^{\prime} \lambda, \mu \nu}^{(4 a)}\langle F| a_{\mu}^{F} a_{v}^{F}\left|B_{F}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{F} \mid B_{I}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{I}\right| a_{\kappa}^{I \dagger} a_{\nu^{\prime}}^{I \dagger}| |\right\rangle \nu_{\kappa \nu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \lambda}^{(4 a) I} \\
& +\sum_{B_{F} B_{I}} \sum_{v^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \lambda k} \sum_{\mu \nu} v_{\mu^{\prime} \lambda, k \nu^{\prime}}^{(4 b) F}\langle F| a_{k}^{F} a_{\nu^{\prime}}^{F}\left|B_{F}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{F} \mid B_{I}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{I}\right| a_{\mu}^{I f} a_{\nu}^{I t}|I\rangle \mathcal{W}_{\mu v, \mu^{\prime} \lambda}^{(4 a)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{W}_{\mu^{\prime} \lambda, \mu \nu}^{(4 a)}:$ ME of the $v$ potential. This is the usual one.
$\nu_{k \nu^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \lambda}^{(4 a)}$ : ME of the perturbative interaction divided by an energy denominator.

## Equation of the exchange ME

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{ex}}^{(0 v)}= & \sum_{B_{F} B_{I}} \sum_{\kappa \nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \lambda} \sum_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{W}_{\mu^{\prime} \lambda, \mu \nu}^{(4 a)}\langle F| a_{\mu}^{F} a_{\nu}^{F}\left|B_{F}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{F} \mid B_{I}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{I}\right| a_{\kappa}^{I \dagger} a_{\nu^{\prime}}^{I \dagger}|I\rangle \mathcal{V}_{\kappa v^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \lambda}^{(4 a) I} \\
& +\sum_{B_{F} B_{I}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \lambda \kappa} \sum_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{v}_{\mu^{\prime} \lambda, v^{\prime}}^{(4 b) F}\langle F| a_{\kappa}^{F} a_{\nu^{\prime}}^{F}\left|B_{F}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{F} \mid B_{I}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{I}\right| a_{\mu}^{I \dagger} a_{\nu}^{I \dagger}|I\rangle \mathcal{W}_{\mu v, \mu^{\prime} \lambda}^{(4 a)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation of the two-body current ME

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2 \mathrm{~b}}^{(0 \mathrm{v})}= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{B_{B_{I} B_{F}}} \sum_{\substack{\text { abcdefg} \\
\text { with conditions }}} W_{g f, c b}^{2 b}\langle F| c_{g}^{F \dagger} c_{f}^{F}\left|B_{F}\right\rangle V_{d e, a c}^{I}\left\langle B_{F}\right|: c_{d}^{I \dagger} c_{e}^{I \dagger} c_{c}^{I} c_{a}^{I}:\left|B_{I}\right\rangle \\
& \left\langle B_{I}\right| c_{c}^{I+} c_{b}^{I}|I\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suffixes $a-g$ : single particles
$W_{g f, c b}^{2 b}$ : ME of $v$ potential including two energy denominators.
Coding is in progress.

## 4. Summary

1. Phenomenological improvement of $0 v \beta \beta$ NME of the SM and the QRPA
If more many-body correlations are added to the QRPA and single-particle space is enlarged in the SM, their $0 v \beta \beta$ NMEs approach to each other.
I look for shell model collaborators to apply this method to ${ }^{136} \mathrm{Xe}$.

2. The discrepancy problem of running sum of $2 v \beta \beta$ NME

The cause of the problem is the interaction strength.
3. Vertex correction to $0 v \beta \beta$ NME


The diagrams and equations of the vertex correction to the $0 v \beta \beta$ NME were shown.


