Impact of tensor and short-range correlations in nuclear physics J Carlson, LANL

Tensor Force Deuteron T20 Nuclear density matrix Three-nucleon interactions JLAB/BNL correlated pairs Inclusive electron/neutrino scattering Neutrino emissivity in neutron matter



Santa Fe Plaza Dec 9

work with: Wiringa, Schiavilla, Pieper, Shen, Reddy, Gandolfi,...

Tensor force (and spin-orbit) couple spin to space



diagonal elements of force in different spatial directions (Forest, PRC, 1996)



Intrinsic shape of the deuteron (Jlab); low density in interior (repulsion) and exterior. Intermediate behavior is strongly space-dependent

 $Q_d = 0.286 \text{ fm}^2$

JLab measurement of T20 in elastic electron scattering $A(q) \simeq |F_{M=0}(q)|^2 + 2 |F_{M=1}(q)|^2$ $T_{20}(q) \simeq -\sqrt{2} \frac{|F_{M=0}(q)|^2 - |F_{M=1}(q)|^2}{|F_{M=0}(q)|^2 + 2|F_{M=1}(q)|^2}$ 1.5 Bates-84 ♦ Novosibirsk–85 +RC-MEC 1.0 Novosibirsk–90 * Novosibirsk-92 0.5 △ NIKHEF-96 • TJNAF-97 $T_{20}(q)$ 0.0 -0.5 -1.0 $F_{M=1}(q_{min})=0$ -1.5 2 6 8 0 4 q (fm⁻¹)

Roy Holt Bonner Prize

Tensor correlations in A>2

Much more difficult to `see' in larger nuclei. Typical matrix elements (eg. energy) involve S_{ij}²

Light nuclei spectra, though, require a `realistic' force



Pieper and Wiringa, PRL 2002



Long-range correlations (clustering) in Carbon-12



The ADLB (Asynchronous Dynér Doad-Balancing) library & GFMC. GFMC energy 93.5(6) MeV; expt. 92.16 MeV. GFMC pp radius 2.35 fm; expt. 2.33 fm.





Shorter-range correlations Tensor Force: higher momentum transfer: Two-Nucleon Distribution Functions



Expectation of short-range operator in T=0, S=1 pairs: scaling with nucleus

Scaling

	R_A	$\langle v^{\pi} \rangle_{A} / \langle v^{\pi} \rangle_{d}$	$\sigma^{\pi}_{A}/\sigma^{\pi}_{d}$	$\sigma_{\pmb{A}}^{\gamma}/\sigma_{\pmb{d}}^{\gamma}$
³ He	2.0	2.1	2.4(1)	$\simeq 2$
$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	4.7	5.1	4.3(6)	$\simeq 4$
⁶ Li	6.3	6.3		
⁷ Li	7.2	7.8		$\simeq 6.5(5)$

Two-nucleon momentum distributions
Total P=0;
$$p \mid \sim -p2$$

 $\rho^{NN}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) = \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{M_J} \langle \psi_{JM_J} \mid \sum_{i < j} P_{ij}^{NN}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) \mid \psi_{JM_J} \rangle$

where \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{Q} are respectively the <u>relative</u> and <u>total</u> momenta of the NN pair, and

 $P_{ij}^{NN}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) \equiv \delta(\mathbf{k}_{ij} - \mathbf{q}) \delta(\mathbf{K}_{ij} - \mathbf{Q}) P_{NN}(ij)$ Dominated by T=0,S=1 (np) and T=1,S=0 (pp) pairs

Back-to-back nucleons (total pair momentum vanishes)



np correlations correlations in back-to-back nucleon knockout: observed at BNL, Jlab







^aShneor et al., PRL99, 072501 (2007); ^bSubedi et al., Science **320**, 1476 (2008); ^CPiasetzky et al., PRL97, 162504 (2006); ^dAshery et al., PRL47, 895 (1981)

Typical method to study correlations, inclusive scattering

Liquid Helium, ... neutron scattering





pair distribution function Lennard-Jones model fluid

Electron and Neutrino Scattering

$$S(q,\omega) = \sum_{f} \langle 0|O^{\dagger}(q)|f \rangle \langle f|O(q)|0\rangle \ \delta(\omega - (E_f - E_0))$$

Longitudinal (Charge) scattering

$$O(q) = \sum_{i} P_p(i) \exp[i\mathbf{q} \cdot r]$$

Transverse (Current Scattering)

$$O(q) = \sum_{i} \mu(i) \exp[i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}] + P_{p}(i)\mathbf{p}_{i} \exp[i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{p}(i) + \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{j}_{ij}(\mathbf{q})$$

Inclusive Electron Scattering and spin 0,T=1 pairs (pp, nn)



Inclusive electron scattering on the deuteron



$$R_{\alpha}(q,\omega) = \sum_{f \neq 0} \delta(\omega + E_0 - E_f) |\langle f | O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) | 0 \rangle|^2 \qquad \alpha = L, T$$

require knowledge of continuum states: hard to calculate for $A \ge 3$

- Sum rules: integral properties of response functions
- Integral transform techniques

$$E(q,\tau) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \, K(\tau,\omega) \, R(q,\omega)$$

Inclusive Electron Scattering



Measuring charge-charge ('pp') correlations

The ⁴He Coulomb Sum Rule

- RC/MEC (small) contributions to $S_L(q)$ tend to cancel out
- Theory and experiment in agreement when using free G_{Ep}



$$W_L(q) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\omega_{\rm th}^+}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega \,\frac{R_L(q,\omega)}{G_{Ep}^2(q,\omega)} = \frac{1}{2Z} \langle 0 \,| \, \left[\rho^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) \,, \, \left[H \,, \, \rho(\mathbf{q}) \right] \right] \,| \, 0 \rangle$$

Transverse Channel: 1 + 2-nucleon currents

Excess Transverse Strength



- How much of the excess transverse strength $\Delta S_T = S_T S_T^{1b}$: in the quasi-elastic peak region?
- Can we understand the A-dependence of ΔS_T ?



Euclidean Response Functions

Carlson and Schiavilla (1992,1994)

$$\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}(q,\tau) = \int_{\omega_{\text{th}}^{+}}^{\infty} d\omega \, \mathrm{e}^{-\tau(\omega-E_{0})} \, \frac{R_{\alpha}(q,\omega)}{G_{Ep}^{2}(q,\omega)}$$
$$= \langle 0 \, | \, O_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) \mathrm{e}^{-\tau(H-E_{0})} O_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) \, | 0 \rangle - (\text{elastic term})$$

- $e^{-\tau(H-E_0)}$ evaluated stochastically with QMC
- No approximations made, exact
- At $\tau = 0$, $\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}(q; 0) \propto S_{\alpha}(q)$; as τ increases, $\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}(q; \tau)$ is more an more sensitive to strength in quasi-elastic region
- Inversion of $\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}(q;\tau)$ is a numerically ill-posed problem; Laplace-transform data instead

³He and ⁴He Longitudinal Euclidean Response Functions



$$E_{\alpha}(q,\tau) = \exp\left[\tau q^2/(2m)\right] E_{\alpha}(q,\tau)$$

and $E_L(q,\tau) \to Z$ for a collection of protons initially at rest

³He and ⁴He Transverse Euclidean Response Functions



- Excess strength in quasielastic region ($\tau > 0.01 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$)
- Larger in A = 4 than in A = 3, as already inferred from S_T

What happens in ~GeV neutrino scattering?

 ν -Deuteron Scattering up to GeV Energy



Potentially important in Mini-Boone, LBNE,...

Spin Response in Neutron Matter requires tensor and/or spin-orbit interactions at q=0

$$S_{\sigma}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{4}{3n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle \mathbf{s}(t, \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{s}(0, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle$$
$$= \frac{4}{3n} \sum_{f} \langle 0|s(\mathbf{q})|f \rangle \cdot \langle f|s(-\mathbf{q})|0 \rangle \delta(\omega - (E_f - E_0))$$

$$Q = \frac{C_A^2 G_F^2 n}{20\pi^3} \int_0^\infty d\omega \ \omega^6 \ e^{-\omega/T} S_\sigma(\omega) ,$$



Sum Rules (inc. spin susceptibility) give measure of overall stength, position and width of peak

Table I: AFDMC results for the sum-rules							
Density (fm^{-3})	$S_{\sigma}^{-1} (\mathrm{MeV}^{-1})$	S^0_{σ}	S_{σ}^{+1} (MeV)	$\bar{\omega}_0 \ ({\rm MeV})$	$\bar{\omega}_1 \ (MeV)$		
n = 0.12	0.0057(9)	0.20(1)	8(1)	35(9)	40(8)		
n = 0.16	0.0044(7)	0.20(1)	11(1)	46(11)	55(8)		
n = 0.20	0.0038(6)	0.18(1)	14(1)	47(12)	78(10)		



Density dependence of response





Conclusions:

Tensor (and other) correlations critical in nuclear physics

Obvious impacts in the deuteron (Q,T20,...), but for larger nuclei, impact not often seen in low-energy observables (spectra, etc.)

Tensor correlations more important in spin observables

More obvious impact at higher momenta: np vs. pp back-to-back in electron scattering inclusive electron and neutrino scattering

Can impact astrophysically relevant behavior: neutrino propagation, 3P2 pairing, ...