











# Double Beta Decay in SNO+

Mark Chen Queen's University DBD09 and APS/JPS DNP, Waikoloa, Hawaii



#### 1000 tonnes D<sub>2</sub>O

12 m diameter Acrylic Vessel

18 m diameter support structure; 9500 PMTs (~60% photocathode coverage)

1700 tonnes inner shielding  $H_2O$ 5300 tonnes outer shielding  $H_2O$ 

Soud tormes outer shielding i

Urylon liner radon seal

depth: 2092 m (~6010 m.w.e.) ~70 muons/day

## Sudbury Neutrino Observatory







### SNO+

- \$300M of heavy water removed and returned to Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (every last drop)
- □ SNO detector to be filled with liquid scintillator
  - 50-100 times more light than Čerenkov
- linear alkylbenzene (LAB)
  - compatible with acrylic, undiluted
  - high light yield, long attenuation length
  - safe: high flash point, low toxicity
  - cheaper than other scintillators

physics goals: pep and CNO solar neutrinos, geo neutrinos, reactor neutrino oscillations, supernova neutrinos, <u>double beta</u> <u>decay with Nd</u>

Linear Alkylbenzene



### SNO+ Double Beta Decay

- …sometimes referred to as SNO++
- $\Box$  it is possible to add  $\beta\beta$  isotopes to liquid scintillator, for example
  - dissolve Xe gas
  - organometallic chemistry (Nd, Se?, Te?, Mo?)
  - dispersion of nanoparticles (Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TeO<sub>2</sub>)
- we researched these options and decided that the best isotope and technique is to make a Nd-loaded liquid scintillator

### Why <sup>150</sup>Nd?

□ 3.37 MeV endpoint (2<sup>nd</sup> highest of all  $\beta\beta$  isotopes)

- above most backgrounds from natural radioactivity
- $\square$  largest phase space factor of all  $\beta\beta$  isotopes
  - □ 56 kg <sup>150</sup>Nd equivalent to (considering only the phase space)
    - ~220 kg of <sup>136</sup>Xe
    - ~230 kg of <sup>130</sup>Te
    - ~950 kg of <sup>76</sup>Ge
- □ isotopic abundance 5.6%

0.1% w/w natural Nd-loaded liquid scintillator in 1000 tonnes has 56 kg of <sup>150</sup>Nd compared to 37 g in NEMO-III

 $\Box$  cost NdCl<sub>3</sub> is ~\$86,000 for 1 tonne

upcoming experiments use Ge, Xe, Te; Cd and Se proposed...we can deploy a large amount of Nd

### Need to Know NME to Estimate Rate

□ <sup>150</sup>Nd has a fast rate but uncertainty in the NME

- calculations such as QRPA assumed spherical nuclei; do not take into account the large deformation seen in <sup>150</sup>Nd and its daughter nucleus <sup>150</sup>Sm
- our approach is experimentally motivated
  - for what is known <sup>150</sup>Nd is an attractive candidate
  - we have a technique to deploy a considerable quantity of Nd in a detector
  - complementarity with other experiments

# Recent Progress: DBD Nuclear Deformation Studies

Nuclear deformation and neutrinoless double- $\beta$  decay of <sup>94,96</sup>Zr, <sup>98,100</sup>Mo, <sup>104</sup>Ru, <sup>110</sup>Pd, <sup>128,130</sup>Te and <sup>150</sup>Nd nuclei in mass mechanism

arXiv:0805.4073v4

K. Chaturvedi<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Chandra<sup>1,3</sup>, P. K. Rath<sup>1</sup>, P. K. Raina<sup>3</sup> and J. G. Hirsch<sup>4</sup>

#### Two-neutrino double beta decay of deformed nuclei within QRPA with realistic interaction

Mohamed Saleh Yousef, Vadim Rodin,\* Amand Faessler, and Fedor Šimkovic<sup>†</sup>

Deformation and the Nuclear Matrix Elements of the Neutrinoless  $\beta\beta$  Decay

J. MENÉNDEZ and A. Poves

Departamento de Física Teórica and IFT-UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049, Madrid, Spain

E. CAURIER and F. NOWACKI IPHC, IN2P3-CNRS/Université Louis Pasteur BP 28, F-67037, Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

### Deformed Results From Chaturvedi et al.

# used Projected Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov framework NME smaller by factor of 2.6 compared to Rodin et al. 2007 spherical RQRPA

The  $(\beta^-\beta^-)_{0\nu}$  decay of  ${}^{94,96}$ Zr,  ${}^{98,100}$ Mo,  ${}^{104}$ Ru,  ${}^{110}$ Pd,  ${}^{128,130}$ Te and  ${}^{150}$ Nd isotopes for the 0<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  0<sup>+</sup> transition is studied in the Projected Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov framework. In our earlier work, the reliability of HFB intrinsic wave functions participating in the  $\beta^-\beta^-$  decay of the above mentioned nuclei has been established by obtaining an overall agreement between the theoretically calculated spectroscopic properties, namely yrast spectra, reduced  $B(E2:0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  transition probabilities, quadrupole moments  $Q(2^+)$ , gyromagnetic factors  $g(2^+)$  as well as half-lives  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$  for the 0<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  0<sup>+</sup> transition and the available experimental data. In the present work, we study the  $(\beta^-\beta^-)_{0\nu}$  decay for the 0<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  0<sup>+</sup> transition in the mass mechanism and extract limits on effective

### Deformed QRPA

- spherical QRPA study
   fixes g<sub>pp</sub> to reproduce
   2νββ experimental
   half-life
- new study examines
   deformation of <sup>150</sup>Nd;
  - g<sub>pp</sub> changes in deformed QRPA analysis
- □ Rodin tells me he's working on M<sup>0</sup><sup>v</sup> calc



FIG. 6: The same as in Fig. 5, but for <sup>180</sup>Nd $\rightarrow$ <sup>180</sup>Sm decay with 2 different sets of deformation parameters: from Ref. [21] ( $\beta_2(^{150}Nd)=0.37$ ,  $\beta_2(^{150}Sm)=0.23$ , "def. (1)") and from Ref. [28] ( $\beta_2(^{150}Nd)=0.24$ ,  $\beta_2(^{150}Sm)=0.21$ , "def. (2)").

### The SNO+ Double Beta Concept



### DBD: Why Good Energy Resolution is Needed?

to separate 0vββ from 2vββ
 to separate 0vββ signal from other gamma lines







### Can You Live With Worse Resolution?

**to separate 0\nu\beta\beta from 2\nu\beta\beta** 

 YES! by fitting the endpoint shape...resolution is less important when fitting spectral shapes than simply counting signal and background events in an energy bin

this is already done (e.g. NEMO-3)

- □ to separate 0vββ signal from other gam
  - YES! if there are no background gamm
- how to achieve zero (low) γ backgrounc
  - use B-field tracking detector: identify β<sup>□</sup> or
  - choose a high Q-value isotope abov
     with an ultra-low background detector



### What Do Scintillators Offer?

- "economical" way to build a detector with a large amount of isotope
- several isotopes can be considered
- ultra-low background environment can be achieved (e.g. phototubes stand off from the scintillator, self-shielding of fiducial volume)
- with a liquid scintillator, possibility to purify *in-situ* to further reduce backgrounds
- possible source-in, source-out capability

### 56 kg of <sup>150</sup>Nd and $< m_v > = 100 \text{ meV}$



- 6.4% FWHM at Q-value
- 3 years livetime
- U, Th at Borexino levels
- 5σ sensitivity
- note: the dominant background is <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrinos!
- <sup>214</sup>Bi (from radon) is almost negligible
- <sup>212</sup>Po-<sup>208</sup>TI tag (3 min) might
  be used to veto <sup>208</sup>TI
  backgrounds; <sup>212</sup>Bi-<sup>212</sup>Po
  (300 ns) events constrain
  the amount of <sup>208</sup>TI

### **SNO+ DBD Residual Plot**



### SNO+ $\beta\beta$ Sensitivity



### <sup>150</sup>Nd SNO+ R&D Summary



### Turning SNO into SNO+

### □ to do this we need to:

- buy the liquid scintillator
- install hold down ropes for the acrylic vessel
- build a liquid scintillator purification system
- make a few small repairs
- minor upgrades to the cover gas
- minor upgrades to the DAQ/electronics
- change the calibration system and sources

### Scintillator R&D





ASTM D543 "Standard Practices for Evaluating the Resistance of Plastics to Chemical Reagents"



### LAB Light Attenuation Length



wavelengui, nin



SNO+ rope will be Tensylon: low U, Th, K ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene

### **Buckling and Finite Element Analysis**





stresses below SNO limit of 600 psi
considered extreme case with empty AV surrounded by water outside: does not buckle

### Inside AV Boating

no crazing or deterioration of acrylic seen

not heavy water!

boating has taken place inside the acrylic vessel

- to attach survey targets
- inspection for engineering re-certification



outside PSUP boating



First Campaign

#### Second Campaign

deviation from perfect sphere in mm

### Deviations from Sphericity (2009 Survey)

Radius: Row by Row

Rows	Combined data sets				
	Radius (cm)	Min. Dev. (mm)	Max. Dev. (mm)		
110	$600.838 \pm 0.400$	$-3.36\pm10.41$	$+5.74\pm10.34$		
108	$600.773 \pm 0.272$	$-5.41\pm7.85$	$+3.68\pm7.88$		
106	$602.326 \pm 0.160$	$-5.05\pm5.37$	$+5.47\pm5.37$		
104	$601.379 \pm 0.072$	$-8.40\pm3.85$	$+4.09\pm3.43$		
102	$601.136 \pm 0.019$	$-10.15\pm2.33$	$+6.39\pm2.53$		
103	$601.108 \pm 0.050$	$-9.33 \pm 2.94$	$+6.82\pm2.95$		
105	$600.946 \pm 0.120$	$-4.99 \pm 4.50$	$+6.94\pm4.51$		
107	$601.439 \pm 0.240$	$-5.20\pm6.58$	$+5.47\pm6.87$		
109	$601.329 \pm 0.415$	$-5.00\pm10.10$	$+5.07\pm9.89$		
101	$597.812 \pm 0.024$	$-8.87\pm2.34$	$+7.19\pm2.66$		
All*	$601.142 \pm 0.007$	$-10.26\pm1.94$	$+7.09\pm2.10$		

\*: 101 not included

#### as before, SNO AV is spherical to better than 0.5"

### Detailed Survey Results to be Added to FEA

**Radius: Spherical Harmonics** 



we are putting measured deviations into the FEA; re-run stress and buckling analysis

### **PSUP Panel Feedthroughs**



PSUP feedthroughs being designed; detailed installation plan nearing completion

#### AIR HANDLING FLOWSHEET (see drawing # SLDO-SNP-FL-2001-01)





### Entering the SNO Cavity – Bosun's Chair





Oleg Li

SNO+ meeting. Sudbury, August 25-26, 2009



### Scintillator Purification and Process Systems



designed by KMPS (who built the successful Borexino system)

### Nd Radiopurity

raw NdCl<sub>3</sub> salt measurement:
 <sup>228</sup>Th at 32±25×10<sup>-9</sup> g <sup>232</sup>Th/g Nd
 purification target:

 <sup>228</sup>Th and <sup>228</sup>Ra in 10 tonnes of 10% Nd (in form of NdCl<sub>3</sub> salt) down to <1 ×10<sup>-14</sup> g <sup>232</sup>Th/g Nd

□ reduction factor of  $>10^6$  required!!!

recall: SNO purified salted heavy water down to ~10<sup>-15</sup> g/g level!!



### Spike Test Results: Extraction Efficiencies of Th and Ra in 10% NdCl<sub>3</sub> using HZrO and BaSO<sub>4</sub>

Purification	Adsorbent	Extraction efficiency	
method	Conc	228Th	226Ra
	0.1 mg/g Zr	<5%	<10%
	0.44 mg/g Zr	99.06±0.22%	30.7±5.7%
HZrO mixed-in	0.82 mg/g Zr	99.89±0.02%	30.1±9.0%
BaSO4 mixed-in	1.0 mg/g Ba	9.5±4.7%	63.4±1.9%
	0.49 mg/g Ba	20.4±4.4%	97.2±0.2%
<b>BaSO4 co-precipitation</b>	1.39 mg/g Ba	62.8±2.3%	99.89±0.03%

### factor of 1000 purification per pass achieved for both Th and Ra!

### Status of SNO+

- 2008-2010 funded by NSERC for final designs and initial construction plus operating grants for Alberta, Laurentian and Queen's \$2.6M
- Sep 2008: FedNor Innovation funds received \$380k
- >\$11M proposal (SNO+ portion) submitted to CFI LEF/NIF competition: October 2008
- approved in June 2009
- construction of hold-down net, cavity liner, anchors: designs and initial construction commenced in 2009 and will proceed into 2010
- contracts for scintillator procurement in Q3 2009
- orders for construction of purification plant Q3 2009
- scintillator process and purification system delivered for installation end of Q2 2010
- □ early 2011  $\rightarrow$  process and purification systems installed, ready for scintillator filling
- commissioning and data taking in 2011

### **SNO+** Collaboration



- University of Alberta: A. Bialek, P. Gorel, A. Hallin, M. Hedayatipoor, C. Krauss
- Brookhaven National Laboratory: R. Hahn, Y. Williamson, M. Yeh
- Dresden University of Technology: K. Zuber
- Black Hills State University: K. Keeter
- Armstrong Atlantic State University: J. Secrest
- Laurentian University: O. Chkvorets, E.D. Hallman, S. Korte, M. Schumaker, C. Virtue
- University of Leeds: S. Bradbury, J. Rose
- University of Liverpool: N. McCauley
- LIP Lisbon: S. Andringa, N. Barros, J. Maneira
- University of North Carolina: M. Howe, J. Wikerson
- University of Oxford: S. Biller, N. Jelley, P. Jones, A. Reichold
- Queen Mary University of London: J. Wilson-Hawke
- University of Pennsylvania: E. Beier, R. Bonaventure, W.J. Heintzelman, J. Klein, G. Orebi Gann, T. Shokair
- Queen's University: M. Boulay, M. Chen, X. Dai, N. Fatemighomi, P.J. Harvey, C. Kraus, X. Liu, A. McDonald, H.O'Keeffe, E. O'Sullivan, P. Skensved, A. Wright
- SNOLAB: B. Cleveland, F. Duncan, R. Ford, C.J. Jillings, I. Lawson, E. Vazquez Jauregui
- University of Sussex: A. Baxter, E. Falk-Harris, S. Fernandes, J. Hartnell, S. Peeters
- TRIUMF: R. Helmer
- University of Washington: J. Kaspar, J. Nance, N. Tolich, H. Wan Chan Tseung

## fin