

The MAJORANA Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay Program

Vincente E. Guiseppe University of South Carolina

International Workshop on Double Beta Decay and Underground Science Hawaii Island, USA 7 October 2014



The potential for $0\nu\beta\beta$





The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

Funded by DOE Office of Nuclear Physics and NSF Particle Astrophysics, with additional contributions from international collaborators

Background Goal in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ peak region of interest (4 keV at 2039 keV)

- 3 counts/ROI/t/y (after analysis cuts). Assay upper limit currently ≤ 4.1

- Scales to 1 count/ROI/t/y for a tonne-scale experiment

40 kg of Ge detectors

- 30 kg of 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge crystals

- 10 kg of ^{nat}Ge

- Detector Technology: P-type, point-contact.

Two independent cryostats

- Ultra-clean, electroformed Cu
- 20 kg of detectors per cryostat
- Naturally scalable

Compact Shield

- Low-background passive Cu and Pb shield with active muon veto Located underground at the 4850-foot level of the Sanford Underground Research Facility in Lead, SD

V. E. Guiseppe





The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR

Goals

- Demonstrate background levels low enough to justify building a tonnescale experiment
- Establish the feasibility of constructing & fielding modular arrays of Ge detectors
- Search for additional physics beyond the Standard Model, such as solar axions and dark matter

Status

- Operating *prototype module* with 3 strings of natural Ge
 - Same design as Modules 1 & 2, but fabricated using OFHC Cu (nonelectroformed) components.
- Module 1 started commissioning in the Fall 2014 with one enrGe string
 - ▶ 7 strings, 20 kg ^{enr}Ge
- Module 2 starting commissioning in the Fall 2015
 - ▶ 4 strings, 10 kg of ^{enr}Ge; 3 strings of ^{nat}Ge
- 30 enriched Ge detectors underground (25.2 kg detector mass), all natural Ge detectors on hand

MAJORANA ⁷⁶Ge Sensitivity

Motivation: achieve ultra-low backgrounds of < 1 count/ROI/t/y to probe the mass scale at the Inverted Hierarchy range



5

MAJORANA ⁷⁶Ge Discovery

Motivation: achieve ultra-low backgrounds of < 1 count/ROI/t/y to probe the mass scale at the Inverted Hierarchy range



MAJORANA Approach





Material Purity

The detector

- Ge metal zone refined and pulled into a crystal that provides purification
- Limit above-ground exposure to prevent cosmic activation
- Deep underground operation Detector mounts
 - Ultra-pure plastic and electro-formed Cu
 - Low mass design
 - Custom cable connectors and front-end boards
 - Carefully selected plastics (PTFE, PEEK, Vespel)
 - Fine Cu coaxial cables
- Cryostat and inner shielding
 - Underground electro-formed Cu
 - ▶ 10 baths at 4850′ SURF
 - 6 baths at a shallow site at PNNL







Cu Production and Machining





Underground Cu electro-forming laboratory produces all of the ultra-pure inner Cu machining in an underground clean room machine shop.



All parts are uniquely tracked through machining, cleaning, and assembly by a custom-built database.





Cu Production and Machining













Carefully Selected Components



E-formed Cu mount

Custom low mass front-end boards Clean Au+Ti traces on fused silica Amorphous Ge resistor FET mounted with silver epoxy EFCu + low-BG Sn contact pin



Fine Cu coaxial cable and clean connectors





Other materials: Electroformed Cryostats Parylene coating / seals Vespel, PTFE, PEEK Shield:s low-BG EFCu & commercial Cu, Pb





Material Purity & Assay

Comprehensive program to assay all materials used in the DEMONSTRATOR

- Neutron activation analysis
- Gamma spectroscopy
- ICP-MS

By necessity have developed world's most sensitive ICP-MS based assay techniques for ~U and Th in Cu $~(Original~MJD~Goal:<0.3~\mu Bq/kg$ for U and Th)

Current MDL (method detection limits) with iridium anode improvements

- U decay chain 0.1 μBq $^{238}U/kg$
- Th decay chain 0.1 μBq $^{232}Th/kg$

Sensitivities with ion exchange copper sample preparation

- U decay chain <0.10 μBq $^{238}U/kg$

- Th decay chain <0.06 μBq $^{232}Th/kg$

Plastic sample for NAA analysis

V. E. Guiseppe



DBD2014 - Oct. 7 2014

Processing of Cu samples using the electrochemical preparation method



Demonstrator Backgrounds



Background prediction based on achieved assays of materials



Backgrounds and Simulations

5 year MJD run: 30 kg 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge; 92% fiducial; 90% livetime (108 kg-years) background of 4.1 cts / 4 keV / t-y



Backgrounds and Simulations

5 year MJD run: 30 kg 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge; 92% fiducial; 90% livetime (108 kg-years) background of 4.1 cts / 4 keV / t-y





P-type Point Contact Detectors

Ultra-low background rate requires a pulse shape analysis (PSA) rejection of multi-site gamma events

- P-type Point-Contact (PPC) detectors
 - No deep hole; small point-like central contact
 - Length is shorter than standard coaxial detector
 - Simple, cost-effective, low background
 - Localized weighting potential gives excellent multi-site rejection
 - Low capacitance (~ 1 pF) gives superb resolution at low energies



enrGe Detector Mass

Mean mass of 840 g (Presently: 25.2 kg of detectors UG)



Detector Units and Strings





PC string with 2 BEGe and 2 ORTEC PPC detectors 18

Glovebox Assembly











V. E. Guiseppe

DBD2014 - Oct. 7 2014

Detector Module





Cryostat mated to the glovebox for string installation



First string of enrGe in Cryostat 1



Detector Module



- A self contained vacuum and cryogenic vessel
- Contains a portion of the shielding
- Can be transported for assembly and deployment





Passive Shielding and Muon Veto





Pb and outer Cu shield installed





Detector deployment





Passive Shielding and Muon Veto





Prototype Cryostat Deployed





24 of 32 muon panels deployed

Characterization Hardware







Vendor Cryostat

String Test Cryostat X, Y, Z, Theta Scanning Capability

Detector Status









String Test Cryostat: Testing and Characterization of strings Prototype Cryostat: 3 strings of natural Ge Deployed in shield Cryostat 1: 1 strings of ^{enr}Ge Commissioning (unshielded)

Resolution of BEGe Detectors within Prototype Cryostat



^{enr}Ge Detector Energy Resolution



Comparison of measurements done at ORTEC and SURF within the vendor cryostat. All are better than specification.



V. E. Guiseppe

^{enr}Ge Detector Energy Resolution



Resolution measurements in vendor cryostat



Multiple Source Calibration within a String Test Cryostat



At 1332 keV, FWHM = 1.98 keV +/- 0.024 keV At 2614 keV, FWHM = 2.72 keV +/- 0.15 keV



Remounted enriched detector in string test cryostat

²²⁸Th Calibration Spectrum in Prototype Cryostat



One detector spectrum within a string mounted in the prototype cryostat and inside shield. FWHM 3.2 keV at 2.6 MeV



^{enr}Ge Detector PSD Performance in Vendor Cryostats







²⁰⁸Tl DEP (single site events) fixed to 90%

²⁰⁸Tl SEP (multisite events) reduced to 10%

MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Summary



- Current background budget is < 4.1 cts/4 keV/t-y
 close to the original MJD goal of 3.
- Prototype Cryostat: 3 strings of natural Ge
 - Deployed in partial shield
 - radon exclusion not fully functional
 - muon and neutron shield incomplete
 - Analyzing backgrounds
- Module 1: Installed 1 string of ^{enr}Ge
 - Initial commissioning
 - 25 kg of characterized enriched detectors on hand
 - 4 of 7 enriched strings assembled
 - Start engineering run in early 2015
- Phased start of operations of Module 1 in 2015 as we complete fabrication and assembly of Module 2.





Future Program



- MAJORANA and GERDA are working towards the establishment of a single international $^{76}\text{Ge}~0\nu\beta\beta$ collaboration
 - Envision a phased, stepwise implementation;
 - ▶ e.g. 250 → 500 → 1000 kg
 - Moving forward predicated on demonstration of projected backgrounds by MJD and/or GERDA
 - Anticipate down-select of best technologies, based on results of the two experiments
- During 2015 both GERDA Phase II and MJD Module 1 should be collecting data
- A Large-Scale ⁷⁶Ge double beta decay experiment would have a lot in common with the present generation experiments.
 - A large and experienced collaboration is expected to form from the present-generation experimental groups.



Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD Kara Keeter

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina , and TUNL Matthew Busch, James Esterline, Gary Swift, Werner Tornow

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia Alexander Barabash, Sergey Konovalov, Vladimir Yumatov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Viktor Brudanin, Slava Egorov, K. Gusev, Oleg Kochetov, M. Shirchenko, V. Timkin, E. Yakushev, I. Zhitnikov

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California and the University of California - Berkeley Nicolas Abgrall, Mark Amman, Paul Barton, Yuen-Dat Chan, Paul Luke, Susanne Mertens, Alan Poon, Kai Vetter, Harold Yaver

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico Melissa Boswell, Steven Elliott, Johnny Goett, Keith Rielage, Larry

Rodriguez, Harry Salazar, Wenqin Xu

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina and TUNL Dustin Combs, Lance Leviner, David G. Phillips II, Albert Young

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee Fred Bertrand, Kathy Carney, Alfredo Galindo-Uribarri, Matthew P. Green, Monty Middlebrook, David Radford, Elisa Romero-Romero, Robert Varner, Brandon White, Timothy Williams, Chang-Hong Yu

Osaka University, Osaka, Japan Hiroyasu Ejiri, Ryuta Hazama, Masaharu Nomachi, Shima Tatsuji Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington Isaac Arnquist, Jim Fast, Eric Hoppe, Richard T. Kouzes, Brian LaFerriere, John Orrell, Nicole Overman

> Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China James Loach

South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, South Dakota Adam Caldwell, Cabot-Ann Christofferson, Stanley Howard, Anne-Marie Suriano, Jared Thompson

> *Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, Tennessee* Mary Kidd

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Aksel Hallin

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina and TUNL Graham K. Giovanetti, Reyco Henning, Mark Howe, Jacqueline MacMullin, Sam Meijer, Benjamin Shanks, Christopher O' Shaughnessy, Jamin Rager, Jim Trimble, Kris Vorren, John F. Wilkerson

> *University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina* Frank Avignone, Vince Guiseppe, David Tedeschi, Clint Wiseman

University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota Dana Byram, Ben Jasinski, Ryan Martin, Nathan Snyder

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee Yuri Efremenko, Sergey Vasilyev

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

Tom Burritt, Micah Buuck, Clara Cuesta, Jason Detwiler, Peter J. Doe, Julieta Gruszko, Ian Guinn, Greg Harper, Jonathan Leon, David Peterson, R. G. Hamish Robertson, Tim Van Wechel





Session GB: Conference Experience for Undergraduates Poster Session (1:30-3:00PM)

- GB.00007: A. Bowes, Investigations of p-type point contact detectors for the MAJORANA Experiment
- GB.00008: S. Elia, Front-End Electronics Characterization, Production, and QA for the Majorana Demonstrator
- GB.00009: W. Thompson et al, The trigger card system for the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR
- GB.00010: C. Wilson, Ge Detector Data Classification with Neural Networks
- GB.00012: C. Dugger, Automation of the Characterization of High Purity Germanium Detectors



- <u>Session CM: Mini-Symposium on Double Beta Decay and Dark Matter I</u> 7:00 PM–9:45 PM, Wednesday, October 8, 2014
 - CM.00008: S Mertens, Overview and Status of the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR
 - CM.00009: C. O'Shaughnessy, MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR: Prototype Module Commissioning

<u>Session DM: Mini-Symposium on Double Beta Decay and Dark Matter II</u> 9:00 AM–12:00 PM, Thursday, October 9, 2014

- DM.00010: N. Abgrall, Design and performance of the MAJORANA lownoise low-background front-end electronics
- DM.00011: B. Jasinski, Assembly and design of the germanium detectors for the Majorana DEMONSTRATOR